In Situ Oxygen Isotope Determination in Serpentine Minerals by SIMS: Addressing Matrix Effects and Providing New Insights on Serpentinisation at Hole BA1B (Samail ophiolite, Oman)
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The ability to constrain the petrogenesis of multiple serpentine generations recorded at the microscale is crucial for estimating the extent and conditions of modern versus fossil serpentinisation in ophiolites. To address matrix bias effects during oxygen isotope analysis by SIMS, we present the first investigation analysing antigorite in the compositional range Mg\# = 77.5–99.5 mole %, using a CAMECA IMS-1280 secondary ion mass spectrometer. Spot-to-spot homogeneity is ≤ 0.5‰ (2s) for the new antigorite reference materials. The relative bias between antigorite reference materials with different Mg/Fe ratios is described by a second-order polynomial, and a maximum difference in bias of ~ 1.8‰ was measured for Mg# ~ 78 to 100. We observed a bias up to ~ 1.0‰ between lizardite and antigorite attributed to their different crystal structures. Orientation effects up to ~ 1‰ were observed in chrysotile. The new analytical protocol allowed the identification of oxygen isotope zoning up to ~ 7‰ in serpentine minerals from two serpentinites recovered from an area of active serpentinisation in the Samail ophiolite. Thus, in situ analysis is capable of resolving isotopic heterogeneity that may directly reflect changes in the physical and chemical conditions of multiple serpentinisation events in the Samail ophiolite.
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Serpentinites, derived from ultramafic rocks that have undergone extensive water/rock interaction and hydration, have generated great interest recently because of the crucial role they play in: (i) recycling water and trace elements between the Earth’s crust and the upper mantle where the dehydration of altered seafloor serpentinites in subduction zones induces mantle melting at sub-arc depths (e.g., Scambelluri et al. 2004, Hattori and Guillot 2007, Deschamps et al. 2010, Alt et al. 2012, Marchesi et al. 2013, Barnes et al. 2014); (ii) providing a suitable habitat for the origin and early development of life in the early Earth and potentially other planetary bodies by generating energy and H2-rich fluids (e.g., Früh-Green et al. 2004, Sleep et al. 2004, Kelley et al. 2005, McCollom and Seewald 2013, Schrenk et al. 2013); and (iii) the possible mitigation of global warming due to their ability to securely store carbon dioxide through mineral carbonation reactions (e.g., Andreani et al. 2008, Kelemen and Matter 2008, Kelemen et al. 2011, Schwarzenbach et al. 2013).

Serpentinites are often characterised by complex anastomosing networks of veins with different serpentine generations resulting from multiple stages of rock–fluid interaction that occur at varying temperatures and/or with chemically or isotopically distinct fluids (e.g., Andreani et al. 2007, Kahl et al. 2015). Consequently, a thorough understanding of the conditions at which serpentinisation occurred and its effects on processes taking place at the interface between the solid earth, the hydrosphere, the atmosphere and the biosphere relies on the ability to constrain each of these hydration events. Physical–chemical properties of rock–fluid interaction processes, such as temperature, fluid source and flux, can be investigated using oxygen isotope ratios, which span a range of ~ 100‰ in the Earth’s crust and mantle (e.g., Valley...
et al. 2005). Consequently, δ18O variations in minerals due to interaction with fluids in isotopic disequilibrium with the bulk rock can be detected as long as volumetrically significant mass transformations occur (Bindeman 2008). The microscale textural relations often observed in serpentinites require the use of in situ microanalytical techniques to resolve and properly interpret the evidence for multiple serpentinisation events. In situ microanalysis of oxygen isotope ratios in minerals is only possible by secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS), which allows isotopic data to be correlated with other geochemical information in petrological context (Valley and Kita 2009).

However as a comparative technique, SIMS requires the use of matrix-matched reference materials (RMs) due to the occurrence of analytical artefacts, called bias, affecting the measured isotopic ratios (Valley and Kita 2009). Previous studies performed with large-radius multi-collector secondary ion mass spectrometers (CAMECA IMS-1270 and 1280, SHRIMP II and SI instruments) showed that matrix effects, the component of bias caused by the chemical and/or structural state of the sample, are variable for most minerals with significant solid solution, such as garnet (Vielzeuf 2005; Page et al. 2010, Martin et al. 2014, Gauthiez-Putallaz et al. 2016), olivine (e.g., Valley and Kita 2009, Tenner et al. 2015, Isa et al. 2017, Scicchitano et al. 2018b), plagioclase (Valley and Kita 2009), alkali feldspar (Pollington 2013), ortho- and clinopyroxenes (Valley and Kita 2009, Kita et al. 2010, Tenner et al. 2015), monazite (Rubatto et al. 2014), titanite (Bonamici et al. 2011), biotite (Siroń et al. 2017) and carbonates (e.g., Valley and Kita 2009, Rollion-Bard and Marin-Carbonne 2011, Śliwiński et al. 2017). Without an accurate estimate of matrix effects on bias across mineral solid solutions, SIMS analyses conducted on unknown samples using a single or a limited number of reference materials may not be accurate.

Scicchitano et al. (2018a) developed the first set of serpentine reference materials for in situ measurement of oxygen isotope ratios by sensitive high-resolution ion microprobe (SHRIMP), one per each of the common polymorphs antigorite (sample A06-44A, Mg# = 94.6 mole %), lizardite (sample L3431, Mg# = 98.3 mole %) and chrysotile (sample C22908, Mg# = 98.1 mole %). The new analytical protocol confirmed the effectiveness of SIMS techniques in unravelling heterogeneities in oxygen isotope compositions of serpentine at the microscale that would otherwise be concealed by bulk analyses (Rouméjon et al. 2018, Scicchitano et al. 2018b). Nevertheless, Scicchitano et al. (2018a) did not investigate matrix effects across varying Mg/Fe ratios in serpentine given only one reference material per polytype was available. Because serpentine can contain variable amount of iron (e.g., Mg# = 78–99 mole %; Evans et al. 2009, 2012, Mayhew and Ellison 2020) and of matrix effects that contribute to bias during SIMS analysis related to Mg/Fe variations in some minerals (e.g., olivine, carbonates; e.g., Kita et al. 2010, Śliwiński et al. 2015, Isa et al. 2017, Scicchitano et al. 2018b), further analytical developments are required to ensure the accuracy of in situ oxygen isotope measurements for the full compositional spectrum of serpentine minerals observed in various natural environments.

We present the first investigation of matrix effects related to variation in the Mg/Fe ratio in serpentine using a CAMECA IMS-1280 secondary ion mass spectrometer at the WtsSIMS Laboratory (University of Wisconsin-Madison, UW-Madison). New antigorite samples, in addition to A06-44A, were selected for detailed study because chemically and isotopically homogeneous material with variable Mg/Fe content was identified. In contrast, no pure and isotopically homogenous material of the lizardite and chrysotile polymorphs, spanning a comparably large Mg/Fe range, was identified as suitable reference materials for SIMS analysis. We evaluated relative bias between antigorite and the other two serpentine polymorphs commonly found in nature (i.e., lizardite and chrysotile) using two reference materials previously developed by Scicchitano et al. (2018a) at Mg# = 98 mole %. The new analytical protocol was then applied to constrain the physical–chemical conditions of fossil and modern peridotite hydration observed at depths of 20 m and 400 m in a site of active serpentinisation (Hole BA1B; Kelemen et al. 2018) in the Samail ophiolite (Oman). The mantle section of the Samail ophiolite shows evidence of at least three distinct hydrothermal events that occurred over a wide range of conditions and are likely to be recorded in the same sample (e.g., Noël et al. 2018). In situ measurement of oxygen isotopes in serpentine minerals has therefore the potential to unravel (i) the relative contribution of these episodes of water/rack interaction to the formation of serpentine, (ii) the physical and chemical conditions that favour low-T serpentinisation and (iii) the coupling between hydration and carbonation of peridotite in ophiolitic terrains.

Serpentine reference materials

Scicchitano et al. (2018a) provided a detailed characterisation of the chemical and oxygen isotope composition of samples A06-44A, L3431 and C22908, which are therefore not repeated here. Recent studies by Tarling et al. (2018) and Andreani et al. (2008) highlighted that lizardite (Lz) has similar Raman spectra as polygonal and polyhedral serpentines (Po,Sr,Pr), and hence cannot be distinguished by Raman spectroscopy. Consequently, it is not possible to rule
out that sample L3431 is either polygonal or polyhedral serpentine rather than lizardite. A second batch of sample Al06-44A was donated by Dr. J.A. Padron-Navarta (University of Montpellier) in 2018 in order to increase its supply. This sample (hereafter UWSp-1) was characterised at UW-Madison for comparison with Al06-44A analysed by Scicchitano et al. (2018a).

In order to extend the compositional range for accurate analysis of oxygen isotopes in serpentinite minerals by SIMS, sixteen new serpentine samples were tested for chemical and isotopic homogeneity in order to determine their suitability as reference materials for oxygen isotope analysis by SIMS and a total of ten serpentine reference materials are described in Table 1.

Five new antigorite (Atg) reference materials (UWSrp-4 to UWSp-8) were made from samples (A1, N7, 166405, BM66586 and 75-34, respectively) donated by Prof. B. Evans that were previously characterised for their major element composition and structural properties in a series of studies (Hess et al. 1952, Faust and Fahey 1962, Dietrich 1972, Uehara and Shirozu 1985, Uehara 1998, Evans et al. 2012). Additional analyses by Raman spectroscopy and EPMA were performed at UW-Madison to verify that the nature and chemical composition of the specimens were consistent with those reported in the literature.

Samples A1 (UWSrp-4) and N7 (UWSrp-5) are antigorite serpentinites corresponding to epidote amphibolite and glaucophane schist facies, respectively, from the Sangun (Sasaguni area, Japan) and Nagasaki (Nishinosogi area, Japan) metamorphic belts (Uehara and Shirazu 1985, Uehara and Kamata 1994, Uehara 1998). Both samples are composed of dark green antigorite lamellae with subordinate fine-grained magnetite and chrome (≤ 1% v/v; percentage by volume; Figure 1 and Figure S1). In sample UWSp-5, antigorite occurs as both roundish and elongated grains. Sample 166405 (UWSrp-6) is a massive monomineralic antigorite serpentinite (< 1% v/v dusty fibres) from Caracas, Venezuela, characterised by a variable grain size with green antigorite up to ~ 1 mm in length (Figures 1 and S1; Hess et al. 1952, Evans et al. 2012). Sample BM66586 (UWSrp-7) came from the Mineralogical Collection of the Natural History Museum, London, and is a very pale green gem-quality antigorite from Afghanistan (Figures 1 and S1; Faust and Fahey 1962, Evans et al. 2012). The sample is very pure, and only sporadic apatite (< 1% v/v) is observed. Sample 75-34 (UWSrp-8) is from a vein of ferroantigorite associated with magnetite, sulfides and ilvaite cross-cutting serpentinite in the Oberhallenstein Alps, Switzerland (Figures 1 and S1; Dietrich 1972, Evans et al. 2012). The source rock sample for this reference material is therefore heterogeneous, and careful mineral separation was necessary to handpick pure green antigorite grains. Sample GEM-2 (UWSrp-9) was purchased at a gem fair, and its geological provenance is unknown. The original hand sample consists of two different antigorite generations – (i) roundish grains containing several small inclusions of heazlewoodite (Ni3S2) and abundant brucite (Br), and (ii) relatively pure elongated grains with < 1% v/v magnetite (Mag) and brucite usually located towards the edge. UWSrp-9 consists of only the latter serpentine generation, handpicked for purity (Figures 1 and S1). Ten other serpentine samples were investigated but are either chemically or isotopically heterogeneous or consist of several serpentine generations that cannot be optically distinguished; hence, they are not further discussed in this study.

All antigorite reference materials developed here are chemically homogeneous within ± 10.4% m/m (per cent by mass) at most (2 standard deviation, 2σ Table 2), and no chemical differences were observed between roundish and elongated grains in sample UWSp-5 within analytical precision. The major element compositions measured by EPMA at UW-Madison are comparable, within analytical precision, to those reported in previous studies of different pieces of each sample (Hess et al. 1952, Uehara and Shirozu 1985, Evans et al. 2012). The investigated samples, along with sample Al06-44A from Scicchitano et al. (2018a), cover most of the chemical compositional range observed in natural terrestrial serpentinite minerals (Figure 2) in terms of SO2 (40.07–44.86% m/m), Al2O3 (0.21–3.30% m/m), MgO (30.49–42.74% m/m) and FeO(total) contents (0.38–15.80% m/m). In this study, the Mg number was calculated considering the Fe(total) –that is, Mg# = 100 × Mg/(Mg + Fe(total)), molar. Some serpentine contains significant Fe3+; however, accurate Fe3+/ΣFe is not routinely measured in natural samples. Consequently, the existing antigorite reference materials have Mg# spanning over the range 77.5–99.5 mole %, which cover most of the compositional range commonly observed in natural terrestrial serpentinite (Mg# ~ 78–99 mole %; Figure 2c; Evans et al. 2009, Evans et al. 2012, Mayhew and Ellison 2020). Nevertheless, accurate Fe3+ measurements for samples UWSp-4 to UWSp-8 and Al06-44A were previously published for different aliquots of the same samples (Evans et al. 2012, Debret et al. 2015) and we therefore estimate potential differences on matrix bias effects quantified using Mössbauer and Micro-X-ray absorption near-edge structure (μ-XANES) data and compare it with bias based on Fe(total) (see section 'Relative bias due to Mg/Fe variation in antigorite').
The Raman modes in the low-frequency (375–381, 683–689 and 1043–1052 cm⁻¹) and high-frequency regions (3667–3675 and 3698–3703 cm⁻¹) correspond to SiO and OH stretching vibrations, respectively, and are distinctive for the antigorite polymorph (Figure S2) (e.g., Rinaudo et al. 2003, Auzende et al. 2004, Reynard and Wunder 2006, Petriglieri et al. 2015, Tarling et al. 2018), in agreement with previous structural characterisations. The slight differences in the wavenumbers between different antigorite samples can be attributed to cation substitutions, particularly Fe and Al (Reynard and Wunder 2006), which vary by ~15% m/m and 3% m/m, respectively, among the different investigated samples.

Preparation of grain mounts

Serpentine reference materials

Serpentine samples were crushed, and four fractions (300–425 μm, 425–710 μm, 710–1400 μm and > 1400 μm) were checked for purity using a binocular reflected light microscope. Additional investigation by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) in secondary electron (SE) and backscattered electron (BSE) modes was performed to identify potential inclusions and chemical zoning. In order to determine the degree of oxygen isotopic homogeneity of the prospective serpentine reference materials, twenty grains of each sample from the 425- to 710-μm fraction were mounted in the central part of 25 mm epoxy discs (> 5 mm from the edge to avoid SIMS bias due to ‘X-Y effects’; e.g., Kita et al. 2009) along with known SIMS reference materials (UWQ-1 quartz, Kelly et al. 2007; UWC-3 calcite, Kozdon et al. 2009; Al06-44A, L3431 and C22908 serpentine, Scicchitano et al. 2018a). In order to flatten the surface and expose the grains, the epoxy discs were ground using a 6-μm fixed-diamond lapping pad on a spinning polishing wheel lubricated with a constant flow of water. They were then polished using 6 μm, 3 μm and 1 μm polycrystalline diamond suspensions on different low-nap polishing pads at a speed of 500 RPM and for no more than 1 minute for each grit to avoid the creation of surface relief that could adversely affect the quality of the SIMS data (e.g., Kita et al. 2009). Because of its fibrous nature, polishing of chrysotile C22908 was especially challenging for lamellae oriented with the elongation axis parallel to the surface of the mount, as previously shown by Scicchitano et al. (2018a). The flatness of the epoxy discs was checked using a ZYGO white-light interferometer at the Department of Materials Science and Engineering to ensure the discs were flat to within 500 μm.

Figure 1. SEM-BSE images of new antigorite reference materials. (Srp = serpentine).
Mounts were carefully cleaned with ethanol and a concentrated Liquinox soap; they were then rinsed with distilled water and kept into a drying vacuum oven at 60 °C for 3 h before applying ~60-nm-thick gold coat.

Serpentinites from Hole BA1B (Samail ophiolite, Oman)

We investigated two serpentinites recovered during the ICDP Expedition 5057 from Site 5/Hole B (Hole BA1B, 22°52.874’ N, 58°42.035’ E) at depths of 20 m (core 7, section 2, interval 20–64 cm, hereafter BA1B-20) and 400 m (core 141, section 4, interval 0–58 cm, hereafter BA1B-400) from an area of active serpentinisation in the Samail ophiolite (Oman) cored in 2018 during the second phase of the Oman Drilling Project (Coggon et al. 2020, Senda et al. 2020, Kelemen et al. 2020a, b). The rock billets were first imaged with Raman spectroscopy at the University of Colorado-Boulder to identify different serpentine generations and polytypes as well as areas to be avoided during SIMS analysis (e.g., fine-grained intergrowths between serpentine and brucite). The samples were then imaged with the SEM in BSE mode at UW-Madison to identify chemical zoning in serpentine. Rock chips of ~2 mm × 2 mm × 3 mm containing the best target locations for SIMS analysis were then cut and mounted, along with grains of the SIMS reference material (antigorite Al06-44A ~300–500 μm in size), into the central part of 25-mm epoxy plugs. The polishing and cleaning procedures for the sample mounts were similar to those employed for the reference material mounts (see above, section Serpentine reference materials).

Analytical methods

Sample imaging

A Hitachi S3400 Variable Pressure Scanning Electron Microscope (VP-SEM) at the Department of Geoscience (UW-Madison) was used at high vacuum in BSE mode to (i) check the purity of the prospective serpentine reference materials by identifying inclusions and chemical zoning, and (ii) recognise different serpentine generations in the serpentinite samples from Hole BA1B to be analysed for oxygen isotope ratios by SIMS. Careful SEM imaging in both BSE and SE modes was also done after every SIMS session to check the location and features of each SIMS pit. This is particularly important for rock samples characterised by complex chemical zonation to verify the desired domains were analysed or whether SIMS analysis pits are located on mixed domains, fractures or other features that would make the measurement less reliable. SIMS analyses where the pit was located on mixed domains or fractures were discarded. Prior to SEM analysis, epoxy plugs were coated with carbon. Analytical conditions were usually as follows: accelerating voltage ~15 kV and working distance ~10 mm.

Major and minor element composition

Major and minor element quantitative analyses of serpentine were done with a CAMECA SXFive Field Emission Electron Microprobe at the Department of Geoscience (UW-Madison) using an accelerating voltage of 15 kV, a beam current of 10 nA and a 5-μm-diameter defocused beam. One to two analyses were done near every SIMS pit in the

Table 1.
List of seven new serpentine reference materials investigated in this study, plus three from Scicchitano et al. (2018a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RM</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Serpentine</th>
<th>Mg# (mole %)*</th>
<th>δ18Ov-SMOW (‰)**</th>
<th>Provenance</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AI06-44A</td>
<td>AI06-44A</td>
<td>Atg</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>8.02</td>
<td>Cero del Almirez massif (Spain)</td>
<td>1, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWSp-1</td>
<td>AI06-44A</td>
<td>Atg</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>8.38</td>
<td>Cero del Almirez massif (Spain)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3431</td>
<td>L3431</td>
<td>Lz/Po/Srp.</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>Mineralogical Collection RSES (ANU)</td>
<td>1, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C22908</td>
<td>C22908</td>
<td>Cil</td>
<td>98.1</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>Mineralogical Collection IJANU</td>
<td>1, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWSp-4</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Atg</td>
<td>91.9</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>Sangun metamorphic belt (Japan)</td>
<td>2, 3, 4, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWSp-5</td>
<td>N7</td>
<td>Atg</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td>Nagasaki metamorphic belt (Japan)</td>
<td>2, 3, 4, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWSp-6</td>
<td>166405</td>
<td>Atg</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>Caracas (Venezuela)</td>
<td>4, 5, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWSp-7</td>
<td>BM66586</td>
<td>Atg</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>Natural History Museum (London)</td>
<td>4, 6, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWSp-8</td>
<td>75-34</td>
<td>Atg</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>Oberhollenstein Alps (Switzerland)</td>
<td>4, 7, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWSp-9</td>
<td>GEM-2</td>
<td>Atg</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*Calculated using Fe(total).
**Recommended values used in this study (see Table 3 for details).
### Table 2.
Chemical compositions of new serpentine reference materials measured using EPMA. Values are means of 27 to 112 spot analyses on approximately twenty grains of each reference material.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N. oxygen</th>
<th>RM</th>
<th>Serpentine</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UWSrp-1</td>
<td>UWSrp-4</td>
<td>UWSrp-5</td>
<td>UWSrp-6</td>
<td>UWSrp-7</td>
<td>UWSrp-8</td>
<td>UWSrp-9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% m/m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>41.52</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>42.27</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>42.52</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>43.60</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>44.86</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>40.07</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>43.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>&lt; 0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>&lt; 0.03</td>
<td>&lt; 0.03</td>
<td>&lt; 0.03</td>
<td>&lt; 0.03</td>
<td>&lt; 0.03</td>
<td>&lt; 0.03</td>
<td>&lt; 0.03</td>
<td>&lt; 0.03</td>
<td>&lt; 0.03</td>
<td>&lt; 0.03</td>
<td>&lt; 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO(total)</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>69.99</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>15.80</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>38.41</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>38.00</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>36.83</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>41.75</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>42.74</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>30.49</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>41.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>&lt; 0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>&lt; 0.09</td>
<td>&lt; 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O(calculated)</td>
<td>12.79</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>12.84</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>12.72</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>13.10</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>13.31</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>12.21</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>13.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>101.27</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>100.82</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>100.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>101.42</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>100.76</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>100.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| apfu      |      |          |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Si        | 3.895 | 0.033   | 4.02   | 0.040  | 4.13   | 0.032  | 4.08   | 0.038  | 4.74   | 0.027  | 3.93   | 0.046 | 4.01   |
| Ti        | 0.001 | 0.002   | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   |
| Al        | 0.320 | 0.046   | 0.07   | 0.033  | 0.11   | 0.036  | 0.08   | 0.031  | 0.023  | 0.007  | 0.042  | 0.017 | 0.088  |
| FeO(total) | 0.024 | 0.010   | 0.018  | 0.02   | 0.023  | 0.011  | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   |
| Mn        | 0.320 | 0.046   | 0.07   | 0.007  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  |
| Mg        | 3.372 | 0.063   | 5.293  | 0.055  | 5.183  | 0.069  | 5.722  | 0.063  | 5.786  | 0.052  | 4.463  | 0.085 | 5.743  |
| Ca        | 0.001 | 0.002   | 0.00   | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  |
| Na        | 0.001 | 0.006   | 0.00   | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  |
| Ni        | 0.017 | 0.024   | 0.018  | 0.000  | 0.021  | 0.000  | 0.013  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  |
| Sum of cations | 9.935 | 0.043   | 9.913  | 0.028  | 9.919  | 0.030  | 9.951  | 0.032  | 9.914  | 0.026  | 9.946  | 0.045 | 9.947  |
| OH        | 8.00  | 8.00    | 8.00   | 8.00   | 8.00   | 8.00   | 8.00   | 8.00   | 8.00   | 8.00   | 8.00   | 8.00   | 8.00   |
| Mg# (mol %) | 94.8  | 91.9    | 90.4   | 97.8   | 99.5   | 77.5   | 98.3   | 90.4   | 97.8   | 99.5   | 77.5   | 98.3   | 90.4   |
| *         |      |          |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Mg# (mol %) | 97.0  | 93.2    | 91.8   | 98.4   | 99.6   | 80.0   | 98.3   | 90.4   | 97.8   | 99.5   | 77.5   | 98.3   | 90.4   |
| **        |      |          |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |

*S* Calculated using FeO(total).

**Mg# reported in the literature for different aliquots (Evans et al. (2012) for samples UWSrp-4 to UWSrp-8; Debret et al. (2015) for UWSrp-1).
serpentinite samples from Hole BA1B in order to get an accurate chemical composition of the various domains analysed for oxygen isotope ratios. Prior to EPMA, epoxy mounts were coated with a 20-nm carbon layer (former gold coat was removed by dissolution following the procedure described in Jones et al. 2012). For each element, acquisition times were 10 s on peak and 10 s total on two background positions (5 s each). The following reference materials were used to calibrate each of the determined chemical elements: Kakauai hornblende USNM (Si, Ca; on LTAP and LPET analyser crystals, respectively), Burma Jadeite from the London National History Museum (Na and Al; TAP and LTAP crystals, respectively), Killbourne Hole olivine and San Carlos olivine USNM (Mg, TAP crystal), Harvard University haematite (Fe; LTIF crystal), synthetic Mn$_2$SiO$_4$ (Mn; LLIF crystal), synthetic Ni$_2$SiO$_4$ (Ni; LLIF crystal), West Chester clinchlore Astimex (O; PC0 crystal), rutile or synthetic TiO$_2$ (Ti; LPET crystal), and Cr$_2$O$_3$ (Cr; LPET crystal). Matrix correction was done using the Armstrong/Love Scott method in the Probe for EPMA software (Armstrong 1988, Donovan et al. 2019), whereas background correction employed the mean atomic number (MAN) method (Donovan and Tingle 1996). Measurement of oxygen during EPMA improved the accuracy of the cation concentrations by up to ~2% m/m. The occurrence of time-dependent X-ray intensity (TDI) variations due to variable operating conditions during EPMA (e.g., Zhang et al. 2019) was tested with different beam currents (10 and 20 nA) and beam diameters (5 and 10 μm) and determined to be negligible in serpentine with the chosen analytical settings. All serpentine analyses were normalised to 18 (O$^+$OH) according to the general formula Mg$_6$Si$_4$O$_{10}$(OH)$_8$. The calculated number of moles of Si was then used to estimate the approximate amount of H$_2$O in % m/m, assuming total iron as Fe$^{2+}$ (see Table 2 for calculations). The calculated amount of H$_2$O would decrease by up to ~1% m/m if all ferric iron was assumed (versus all Fe$^{2+}$) in the structural formula.

Raman spectroscopy

An Aramis Horiba Jobynvcon confocal Raman microscope at the Department of Material Sciences and Engineering (UW-Madison) was used to identify the polymorph type for the prospective serpentine reference materials. Raman spectra in serpentine reference materials were acquired directly on polished epoxy plugs using a 532 nm wavelength (green laser), 100 x objective, 600 lines mm$^{-1}$ grating and a total acquisition time of 10 s resulting from five accumulations of 2 s each. The spectra were processed using the LabSpec 6 software in order to subtract the background and accurately assign wavelengths to the Raman peaks.

Raman imaging of the two serpentinite samples from Hole BA1B was conducted using a Horiba Jobynvcon Lary RAM HR Evolution confocal Raman microscope at the Department of Geological Sciences (University of Colorado-
Boulder). Hyperspectral Raman maps were collected using a 532 nm laser, 50 x objective, 600 line mm⁻¹ grating and total acquisition time of 0.2–0.5 s per point depending on the map. Map processing was performed using LabSpec 6 software, including baseline subtraction and classical least-squares fitting based on end members defined by averaging multiple spectra within the map data set.

**Oxygen isotope analysis by laser fluorination**

Bulk oxygen isotope measurements of the serpentine reference materials were performed with a 32 W CO₂ laser fluorination system on a triple-collecting Finnigan MAT 251 mass spectrometer at the Stable Isotope Laboratory, UW-Madison (Valley et al. 1995), using an airlock to minimise sample crosstalk and partial reaction prior to analysis (e.g., Spicuzza et al. 1998). Approximately 10 to 100 mg (depending on the initial availability) of each serpentine sample (425–710 μm fraction) was handpicked under a binocular reflected light microscope in order to choose inclusion-free and unaltered grains for laser fluorination analysis. The selected grains were ground to a fine powder and three to eight aliquots of ~ 2 mg each per sample were loaded in 2-mm-diameter holes located in individual nickel sample holders, which were then kept in a drying oven prior to introduction into the airlock chamber (for details on instrumental design and analytical procedures, see Valley et al. 1995, Spicuzza et al. 1998). The ganet reference material (UWG-2, Valley et al. 1995) was analysed six times at the start of, and twice during, each of five daily sessions. Raw values were corrected using the mean measured value for UWG-2 compared with the recommended value of 5.80‰ V-SMOW for UWG-2 on each day (Valley et al. 1995). The mean correction was -0.03‰, and daily precision averaged ±0.10‰ (2σ).

**Oxygen isotope analysis by SIMS**

In situ oxygen isotope analyses of serpentine were performed with a CAMECA IMS-1280 large-radius multi-collector secondary ion mass spectrometer at the WiscSIMS Laboratory (UW-Madison) during eight measurement sessions from August 2018 to June 2020. Serpentine in the samples from Hole BA1B was analysed during the session in June 2019. A primary beam of 133Cs⁺ ions with ~2 nA intensity and accelerating potential of +10 kV (20 kV impact energy) were focused to ~10 μm diameter beam size at the surface of the sample (Kita et al. 2009, 2010). Epoxy mounts were coated with ~60-nm layer of gold to enhance conductivity. Additionally, a normal-incidence electron-flood gun (-10 kV) was used to focus electrons at 0 energy over the sputtering site (~60 μm x 100 μm oval area) to compensate for the build-up of positive charge typical of analyses employing a Cs⁺ primary ion beam (e.g., Kita et al. 2009, 2010). The contrast aperture, entrance slit, field aperture, energy slit and exit slit were set to 400 μm diameter, 120 μm width, 4000 μm x 4000 μm, 40 eV width at low-energy peak and 240 μm width, respectively (e.g., Kita et al. 2010). Simultaneous analysis of 16O, 18O and 18O⁺ ions was done using three Faraday Cup (FC) detectors; count rates in serpentine were on the order of ~2.3–3.8 × 10⁶ cps, ~4.7–7.6 × 10⁶ cps and ~6.5 × 10⁷ to 1.5 × 10⁸ cps, respectively. Each analysis lasted ~3.5 min including 10 s of presputtering necessary to remove the gold coat, 120 s of automated centring of the secondary ions during which the secondary ion signal stabilises and 80 s of data collection resulting from an integration of twenty cycles of 4 s each (Kita et al. 2009). Bias, drift and spot-to-spot precision were monitored by bracketing every 10–20 unknown analyses with eight analyses of the reference material located in the same grain mount.

**Data treatment**

**Estimation and correction of bias for serpentine reference materials**

By convention, the measured oxygen isotope ratios (18O/16O) are expressed using the δ-notation as permil deviation relative to Vienna-Standard Mean Ocean Water (V-SMOW) (Kita et al. 2009, Hoefs 2018), with (18O/16O) = 0.00020520 (Baertschi 1976).

Measured values of (18O/16O) by SIMS are biased relative to true ratios during sample sputtering as well as transmission and detection of the secondary ion beam. Instrumental mass fractionation (IMF) is expressed by a fractionation factor, α₁⁸O_SIMS (e.g., Deloule et al. 1991, Kita et al. 2009):

\[ α_{18}^{\text{SIMS}} = \frac{1 + \delta_{18}^{\text{O\text{RAW}}}/1000}{1 + \delta_{18}^{\text{O\text{F}}}/1000} \]  

(1)

where \( \delta_{18}^{\text{O\text{RAW}}} \) is the δ¹⁸O value of a reference material measured by SIMS, and \( \delta_{18}^{\text{O\text{F}}} \) is the oxygen isotope value of the same reference material determined by laser fluorination that is accepted as the true value and tied to the V-SMOW scale. Because \( α_{18}^{\text{SIMS}} \) is generally close to unity for oxygen isotopes on Earth, it is closely approximated using δ-notation in permil referred to as “bias” (Kita et al. 2009).
\[ \text{bias} = (\alpha^{18} \text{O}_\text{SMOW} - 1) \times 1000 \quad (2) \]

Bias of individual minerals and their solid solution can change slightly within or between measurement sessions, though the relative differences between two minerals will remain constant within a single week-long session. Therefore, we define the difference in instrumental bias for a mineral with variable solid solution relative to that determined for a specific reference material (typically the running reference material, RS) as bias* (%):

\[ \text{bias}^* = \left(\frac{\alpha^{18} \text{O}_\text{SIMS}}{\alpha^{18} \text{O}_\text{SIMS - RS}} - 1\right) \times 1000 \quad (3) \]

In this study, we used the antigorite reference material AL06-44A as the normalising serpentine reference material in sessions S4, S6 and S7 (Table S2). UWQ-1 quartz, UWC-3 calcite and SCO-ANU olivine (Scicchitano et al. 2018b) were used as running reference materials in sessions S1 to S5 and S7, which aimed to test the isotopic homogeneity of the prospective serpentine reference materials or potential grain orientation effects in serpentinite.

**Estimation and correction of bias for unknowns**

The suite of reference materials reported here allows evaluation of the matrix effects of Fe-Mg substitution in antigorite for Mg# = 77.5 to 99.5 mole %. We did not find pure suites of chemically and isotopically homogenous lizardite and chrysotile samples that could be pursued as SIMS reference materials to span the full range Mg# = 78 to 100 mole %. Accordingly, all seven antigorite reference materials (AL06-44A and UWSrp-4 to UWSrp-9) were analysed at the beginning of each measurement session in order to determine a calibration curve, which allowed for the correction of every serpentine analysis in the rock samples for bias* (x), the extent of bias due to variation in chemical composition, x, relative to the normalising reference material (see Equation (5) in section Relative bias due to Mg/Fe variation in antigorite for details). Additionally, the lizardite (L3431) and chrysotile (C22908) reference materials were also analysed at the beginning of each measurement session to estimate the relative bias (bias*) attributable to differences in crystal structures between serpentine poly- morphs. For measurement sessions that used UWC-3 calcite as a running reference material, we calculated the relative bias (bias*) between UWC-3 and AL06-44A and the bias* (x) against AL06-44A (Table S2).

The final corrected δ18O values expressed on the V-SMOW scale for each unknown were calculated as follows:

\[ \delta^{18} \text{O}_\text{V-SMOW} = \left(\frac{1 + \delta^{18} \text{O}_\text{RAW}/1000}{1 + \text{bias}/1000} - 1\right) \times 1000 \quad (4) \]

where δ18O_RAW and bias are as defined above (see Table S3a, for details on calculations).

**Calculation of precision and accuracy on oxygen isotope measurements**

In the WiscSIMS Lab, the analytical precision of an individual analysis was assessed by the spot-to-spot precision of the bracketing reference material (2s, typically n = 8, Table S2) rather than by the measurement repeatability of twenty cycles for a given spot (e.g., 2 SE, standard error of the mean; Kita et al. 2011). The isotopic homogeneity of the prospective serpentine reference materials was estimated by comparing the spot-to-spot precision (2a) of multiple analyses in approximately twenty grains with the precision of the bracketing reference materials AL06-44A, UWQ-1 or UWC-3 that are homogenous within ± 0.1–0.5‰ (Tables S1 and S2).

The reported accuracy (2a) on the δ18O values of serpentine from Hole BA1B samples (Table S3a) is the quadratic sum of the standard error of the individual SIMS analysis (i.e., measurement repeatability, 2SE, of each SIMS spot); standard error of the bracketing reference material; and standard errors of the laser fluorination values of (i) antigorite AL06-44A (2SE = 0.08‰, Table 3), (ii) garnet UWG-2 (2SE = 0.01‰, Valley et al. 1995), (iii) quartz NBS-28 (2SE = 0.00‰, Valley et al. 1995), and (iv) lizardite L3431 (2SE = 0.10‰, Valley et al. 1995) or chrysotile C22908 (2SE = 0.02‰, Table 3) depending on the nature of the analysed serpentine polymorph, and the precision of the matrix bias calibration (2RMSE = 0.51‰ for the June 2019 session; Table S2).

**Oxygen isotopes in serpentine reference materials**

**Laser fluorination and gas-source mass spectrometry**

The serpentine reference materials as well as the San Carlos olivine (SCO-ANU) were analysed for oxygen isotope ratio by laser fluorination and gas-source mass spectrometry of 1–2 mg samples at the Stable Isotope Laboratory, UW-Madison (Table 3). Aliquots of the new prospective serpentine reference materials and sample UWSrp-1 were analysed three to eight times each, and the previously characterised serpentine reference materials AL06-44A, L3431 and C22908 as well as San Carlos olivine SCO-ANU were analysed two to four times each.
Most serpentine samples had a precision $\leq 0.3\%$ (2s), whereas one sample (UWSp-6) had a precision of $\sim 0.4\%$ (2s) (Table 3). The new laser fluorination data acquired at UW-Madison on samples AI06-44A ($8.02 \pm 0.16\%$, $2s$, $n = 4$), L3431 ($5.32 \pm 0.20\%$, $2s$, $n = 4$) and C22908 ($4.66 \pm 0.04\%$, $n = 3$) are used throughout this paper for consistency.

Secondary ion mass spectrometry

SIMS measurements of oxygen isotope ratios in the serpentine reference materials were performed during eight measurement sessions (Tables S1 and S2). The first three sessions (S1 to S3 in Table S2) aimed to determine the isotopic homogeneity at the microscale of the new serpentine samples (UWSp-4 to UWSp-9), whereas sessions S4 to S7 (Table S2) aimed to evaluate (i) the extent of relative bias between antigorite samples with variable Mg#s, (ii) relative bias between different serpentine polymorphs and (iii) any possible grain orientation effects. The last session (S8 in Table S2) aimed to compare UWSp-1 with AI06-44A.

Grain orientation effects: Channelling and tunnelling effects can cause the measured oxygen isotope ratio by SIMS to vary for some minerals according to the orientation of the target's crystal structure to the primary and secondary beams. Orientation effects have been documented in a few oxide minerals, but chrysotile is the only silicate to show an effect of many that have been tested (Huberty et al. 2010, Kita et al. 2011, Scicchitano et al. 2018a). The possible occurrence and extent of orientation effects in serpentine were investigated with a CAMECA IMS-1280 secondary ion mass spectrometer by analysing different orientations (i.e., fibre/grain elongation parallel and perpendicular to the surface of the mount) of Ctl C22908 and Atg UWSp-9. An orientation effect of up to $\sim 1\%$ was observed in chrysotile, whereas no significant variability in $\delta^{18}O$ between different orientations was detected in the antigorite (Figure 3).

The extent of orientation effect in chrysotile varied over the three measurement sessions, potentially due to the analysis of different chrysotile grains in the mounts (Figure 3). In particular, the orientation when fibres are parallel to the surface of the mount showed comparable raw $\delta^{18}O$ values in December 2018 and higher raw $\delta^{18}O$ values in the two subsequent sessions (by $\sim 0.5$–1.0%), relative to the orientation when fibres are perpendicular to the surface of the mount (Figure 3). We excluded the possibility that the lower $\delta^{18}O$ values might be due to the occurrence of epoxy in the analysed domains because no epoxy was detected during SEM-BSE imaging and secondary ion yields in the two orientations were comparable (Table S2). During the session in June 2019, we tested whether the different orientation of the chrysotile fibres relative to the incoming primary ion beam could be responsible for such variability by rotating the same epoxy mount of 90° (Figure S3). Nevertheless, a similar extent of orientation effects was observed between the two orientations of chrysotile (Figure 3). The same mount was analysed in January 2019 with a third orientation relative to the Cs+ primary beam (Figure S3), and a comparable extent of orientation effect was observed (Figure 3; Table S2).

Scicchitano et al. (2018a) also observed up to $1\%$ difference in orientation effects in the Ctl C22908 using SHRIMP SI and SHRIMP II instruments. In particular, comparable $\delta^{18}O$ values between the two orientations were obtained with SHRIMP SI, whereas an offset of $\sim 1\%$ (with higher values in the fibres with elongation axis parallel to the surface of the mount) was observed with SHRIMP II in the same mount. This difference was attributed to variations in the instrument design and potentially to differences in the analytical settings between the two instruments that may have suppressed orientation effects on SHRIMP II. Interestingly, the magnitude of orientation effects detected with SHRIMP SI is comparable to that detected with the CAMECA IMS-1280, despite the two instruments having different primary ion beam incidence angles (e.g., Huberty et al. 2010).

To the best of our knowledge, chrysotile is the only silicate in which orientation effects have been described, whereas they are consistently observed during oxygen isotope analysis of magnetite and haematite (Huberty et al. 2010); U-Pb isotope analysis of rutile (Taylor et al. 2012) and baddeleyite (Wingate and Compston 2000, Schmitt et al. 2010); Sr isotope analysis in sphalerite and galena; and Fe isotopes in magnetite (Kozdon et al. 2010, Kita et al. 2011).

The reason for the variation in orientation effects in chrysotile observed from session to session in this study is unclear. Analytical settings were kept constant over the different sessions and are an unlikely cause of this discrepancy. The nature of orientation effects observed here differs from those that have been reported in single crystals of other minerals, in part because the phyllosilicate layers are rolled in fibres of chrysotile that are $< 100$ nm in outer diameter and $\geq 4$ nm in inner diameter (Evans et al. 2013). Thus, each 10-μm SIMS pit on chrysotile targets several fibres that have highly variable crystallographic orientation. Additionally, the core of the fibres may be empty or filled by amorphous matter (Evans et al. 2013). This may cause the variability of orientation effects.
observed from session to session by both Cameca and SHRIMP instruments. Similar variability in orientation effects from session to session has not been observed in other minerals (Huberty et al. 2010, Koizumi et al. 2011). Additional studies are necessary to determine the cause(s) of this variation. The maximum extent of orientation effects recorded in chrysotile is ~1% and therefore is considered to be the limitation on the precision and accuracy of analyses of this polymorph.

Isotopic homogeneity of new serpentine reference materials: Overall, analysis of the majority of the new antigorite reference materials yielded mean precisions ranging between ±0.2 and 0.5‰ (2σ), comparable to that of the commonly used reference materials at WiscSIMS that were analysed in the same sessions: UWQ-I quartz (±0.3 to 0.5‰, 2σ), UW-3 calcite (±0.1 to 0.4‰, 2σ) and AI06-44A antigorite (±0.3 to 0.5‰, 2σ) (Table S1). Chrysotile C22908 (precision commonly ±0.2 to 0.5‰ and occasionally up to ±0.8‰, 2σ for the fibres with elongation axis parallel to the surface of the mount; see above section Grain orientation effects) and lizardite L3431 (±0.2 to 0.5‰, 2σ) were analysed during the same measurement sessions (Tables S1 and S2). Slightly less precise were the antigorite samples UWSp-4 (±0.3 to 0.6‰, 2σ) and UWSp-5 (±0.4 to 0.7‰, 2σ) (Tables S1 and S2). The precision in some of these serpentine reference materials (e.g., UWSp-4 and UWSp-5) is larger than that commonly achieved for other minerals at the WiscSIMS Laboratory for a 10 μm beam size (0.2–0.3‰, 2σ e.g., Kita et al. 2009, Tenner et al. 2017) as well as in the other serpentine reference materials, demonstrating that UWSp-4 and UWSp-5 are not perfectly homogeneous in oxygen isotope ratios. However, UWSp-4 and UWSp-5 are still useful as reference materials. We did not detect drift at a level of 0.3‰ for any of the SIMS sessions. The bias for each reference material varied by as much as ~3.8‰ between different sessions (Tables S1 and S2), but within a single measurement session, the extent of bias was comparable between the various serpentine polymorphs (2.8–6.6‰ for Atg with Mg# = 77.5–99.5; 1.4–4.0‰ for LzPolSp; 0.9–5.2‰ for Ctl) (Tables S1 and S2). The bias with SHRIMP instruments from previous studies (Scicchitano, unpublished results) was larger compared with the results determined with the Cameca IMS-1280 in this study. In particular, bias values for SHRIMP I and SHRIMP II varied as follows: 10.1–16.0‰ and 6.4–7.8‰ for the Atg Al06-44A (versus 2.8–6.5‰ with the Cameca IMS-1280), 9.3–10.4‰ for the LzPolSp. L3431 (no data were acquired with SHRIMP II), and 10.3–11.8‰ and 7.1–8.0‰ for the Ctl C22908 (Scicchitano, unpublished results). The bias for UWSp-1 was comparable to that of AI06-44A within analytical precision (Table S2).

Relative bias due to Mg/Fe variation in antigorite: A systematic relationship between relative bias and Mg#, bias*(x), was observed for the antigorite reference materials over three different measurement sessions from December 2018 to June 2019. This relationship can be described by a second-order polynomial (Figure 4):

\[ \text{bias}^*(x) = ax^2 + bx + c \]  

where x is Mg# (mole %) of the antigorite reference materials determined by EPMA; a, b and c are the parameters of the regression determined at the start of each measurement session from the results of the seven reference materials (Table S2). In the investigated compositional range, the largest difference in matrix bias effect relative to AI06-44A – that is, ~1.8‰ – was observed for the Fe-rich sample UWSp-8 with Mg# of ~78 (Figure 4). Uncertainty of bias*(x) is estimated as the deviation from the best-fit curve and was ~0.5–1.0‰ (2σ) over the three measurement sessions. Calibration curves were also determined using Mg#s of antigorite reference materials calculated on the basis of the measured Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ ratios published in Evans et al. (2012), for samples UWSp-4 to UWSp-8, and Debret et al. (2015), for sample AI06-44A. These calibration curves were compared with those determined using Mg#s derived from Fe(total). The extent of matrix bias correction calculated using the two different sets of calibration curves differs only by ~0.3‰ at most. Consequently, given this difference is within the typical analytical precision, the lack of accurate Fe³⁺/ΣFe ratios for most natural serpentine is not a significant limitation to the accuracy of SIMS analyses.

No clear correlation between bias*(x) and major element chemistry for Al₂O₃ (0.21–3.30% m/m) and OH/O (3.2–3.4 E-02) was observed in the investigated compositional range (Figure 5).

Even though the systematic relationship between Mg# and relative bias can be similar if analytical settings are kept constant, some inter-session variability is observed and accurate analysis requires the construction of a calibration curve at the beginning of every measurement session. Furthermore, relative bias should be extrapolated beyond the compositional range covered by the reference materials only with great care and the degree of nonlinearity should be evaluated before interpolations over large intervals in major element composition.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RM</th>
<th>Serpentine</th>
<th>This study</th>
<th>Scicchitano et al. (2018a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\delta^{18}O_{V-SMOW}$ (%)</td>
<td>Mean $\delta^{18}O_{V-SMOW}$ (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlO6-44A</td>
<td>Alg</td>
<td>7.91</td>
<td>8.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UW5rp-1</td>
<td>Alg</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>8.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3431</td>
<td>Lz/Po/Szp.</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>5.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C22908</td>
<td>Ol</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UW5rp-4</td>
<td>Alg</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>7.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UW5rp-5</td>
<td>Alg</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>8.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UW5rp-6</td>
<td>Alg</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>5.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UW5rp-7</td>
<td>Alg</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>3.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UW5rp-8</td>
<td>Alg</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Relative bias between different serpentine polytypes: Given that lizardite L3431 and chrysotile C22908 have different Mg/Fe ratios (Mg\# = 98.3 and 98.1, respectively; Table S2) relative to the normalising antigorite reference material Al06-44A (Mg\# = 94.6; Table 1), the observed difference in bias may result from a combination of variable chemical compositions and crystal structures. In order to disentangle these two effects and evaluate the extent of bias due to differences in crystal structures, the measured \(^{18}O\) values of lizardite and chrysotile reference materials were corrected according to Equation (4), assuming that the relation between bias* (x) and mg number (mg\#) is linear.

Table 3 (continued).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RM</th>
<th>Serpentine</th>
<th>This study</th>
<th>Scichitano et al. (2018a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\delta^{18}O_{V-SMOW (%)})</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2(s) (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWSrp-9</td>
<td>Alg</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alg</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alg</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCO-ANU</td>
<td>Ol</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>5.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Atg: antigorite, Lz: lizardite, Ol: chrysotile, Pol:Srp: polygonal and polyhedral serpentine, Ol: olivine

Figure 3. Raw \(^{18}O\) values in two different orientations (i.e., parallel and perpendicular to the surface of the mount) of chrysotile C22908 and antigorite UWSrp-9 grains over three measurement sessions, showing grain orientation effects up to ~ 1‰ in chrysotile. No orientation effects were detected in antigorite. (c) Rotation of the same mount by 90° gave comparable \(^{18}O\) values within analytical precision (C22908_1a versus C22908_1b). See text for more details.
...and Mg# (Equation 5) is the same for all serpentine polymorphs (Table S2). The offset between the corrected δ¹⁸O value relative to antigorite A06-44A and the oxygen isotope ratio determined by laser fluorination is the extent of bias attributed to differences in crystal structures at that Mg# composition between various serpentine polymorphs. A bias of ~ -0.5 to -1.0% was calculated for lizardite L3431 versus antigorite A06-44A during the three measurement sessions (Figure 6), and the difference in bias calibrated for each session is therefore included in the bias* (x) term for all unknown lizardite analyses (Table S3a). A bias of ~ -0.1 to -1.2% and ~ -0.7 to -1.0% was calculated for chrysotile C22908 with the fibre elongation parallel and perpendicular, respectively, to the surface of the mount (Figure 6, Table S2). Given that this is within the precision of chrysotile analyses due to orientation effects, it was not included in the bias* (x) term for unknown chrysotile analyses (Table S3a).

A limited number of studies have investigated the possible occurrence of differences in the SIMS bias between different polymorphs. In studies of coesite and quartz (Schulze et al. 2003), no difference in the bias was observed.

Serpenisation at Hole BA1B, Samail ophiolite (Sultanate of Oman)

Geological background and previous studies

The Samail ophiolite in northern Oman and eastern United Arab Emirates is the largest (~ 10,000 km²), best-exposed and least-deformed ophiolite complex in the world (Hacker et al. 1996, Boudier et al. 2010, Kelemen et al. 2011, Streit et al. 2012, Früh-Green et al. 2018). For these reasons, it has been intensely studied over the past 40 years to better understand the formation and alteration of the oceanic lithosphere at fast-spreading ridge centres and after obduction (e.g., Gregory and Taylor 1981, Godard et al. 2003, Nasir et al. 2007, Streit et al. 2012, Rioux et al. 2012, 2013, Falk et al. 2016) as well as the efficiency of in situ CO₂ sequestration in peridotite through mineral carbonation reactions (e.g., Kelemen and Matter 2008, Kelemen et al. 2011, Noël et al. 2018). The Oman Drilling Project recently recovered ~ 3000 m of core from critical sections within the dyke–gabbro transition, the foliated and layered gabbros, the crust–mantle transition, the boundary between the ophiolite and the underlying metamorphic sole and Hawasina Group, including 1000 m of core from zones undergoing active serpentinisation (e.g., Teagle et al. 2018).

The mantle section of the Samail ophiolite (~ 8–12 km thick) is affected by high degrees of serpentinisation (typically 30–60%, reaching 100% in large areas) and carbonation that is more localised. The alteration is ascribed to at least three distinct hydrothermal events spanning a large range of time, environment and temperature: (i) seafloor serpentinisation before obduction (i.e., likely between ~ 97 and 95 Ma, Hacker et al. 1996, Rioux et al. 2013) at T = 130–400 °C (e.g., Dewandel et al. 2003, Boudier et al. 2010, Streit et al. 2012); (ii) Carbonation of the mantle wedge during obduction (i.e., between ~ 95 and 78 Ma, Hacker et al. 1996) at T = 80–130 °C due to interaction with metasedimentary-derived fluids (e.g., Falk and Kelemen 2015); and (iii) present-day low-T (< 50 °C) weathering and interaction with meteoric water (e.g., Barnes et al. 1978, Neal and Stanger 1984, 1985, Stanger 1985, Streit et al. 2012, Miller et al. 2016). Evidence for peridotite carbonation recorded at the microscale and attributable to these three main hydrothermal events has recently been described by Noël et al. (2018) who performed the first in situ stable isotope (O, C) study by SIMS in carbonate veins cross-cutting serpentinised harzburgites in the Wadi Dima area of the Samail ophiolite.

The Oman Drilling Project ‘Batin Alteration’ (BA) cored and rotary drill sites (‘BA1B’, ‘Site 9/Hole A’ or ‘BA3A’, and ‘Site 10/ Hole A’ or ‘BA4A’) are closely spaced in Wadi Lawayni located in the large Wadi Tayin massif in the mantle section of the ophiolite (e.g., Kelemen et al. 2020a,b). Preliminary observations of the BA drill cores highlighted the occurrence of several mutually cross-cutting (and therefore presumed cogenetic) veins consisting of ‘waxy’ fine-grained serpentine (often observed at contact between serpentinised peridotite and altered gabbroic dykes), carbonate and serpentine + carbonate (Kelemen et al. 2018). Dating of the carbonate veins using ¹⁴C (≤ 8% fraction modern carbon) yields ages of 20 to 52 ky, suggesting that some of the ‘waxy’ serpentine may also be young (Kelemen et al. 2020a). The abundance of these young veins decreases down-hole, and carbonate veins are only observed in the upper 100 m of the cores; similarly, oxidation of the matrix with abundant formation of Fe-oxo-hydroxides is pervasive in the upper 30 m of all holes and decreases down-hole (Kelemen et al. 2018). This correlation between abundance of young veins and matrix alteration with depth as well as the occurrence of highly alkaline and reduced fluids sampled in the boreholes (type-I Mg²⁺·HCO₃⁻-rich waters with pH ~ 8–9; type-II Ca²⁺·(OH)⁻-rich waters with pH ~ 10–11 and mixtures of the two, e.g., Barnes and O’Neil 1969, Miller et al. 2016, Kelemen et al. 2020a) suggests that carbonate and serpentinisation of peridotite could be active, near-surface processes at BA sites (Kelemen et al. 2018).

Site BA1B samples 400 m of extensively serpentinised peridotite (~ 150 m upper dunite and ~ 250 m lower harzburgite with > 80% alteration), cross-cut by several gabbroic and pyroxenite dykes. In this study, two serpentine
samples recovered from the BA1B drill core at depths of 20 m (sample BA1B-20) and 400 m (sample BA1B-400) were investigated in detail by SEM-BSE imaging, EPMA major chemical element, Raman and oxygen isotope microanalysis in serpentine minerals. This allowed for characterising and constraining the origin and petrogenesis of multiple serpentine veins within the context of the three different hydrothermal alteration events proposed for the Samail ophiolite and particularly the physical and chemical conditions of modern versus fossil serpentinisation.

**Sample description**

**BA1B-400:** In sample BA1B-400, collected at 400 m depth at the bottom of the BA1B cored borehole, the primary igneous mineral assemblage is partially preserved and consists of minor relics of olivine with rare pyroxene and Cr-spinel (Figure 7a, b). Relict olivine is often observed in the core of mesh textures surrounded by lizardite ± chrysotile (polymorphs determined by Raman spectroscopy, Figures 7a, S4a), while orthopyroxene (with clinopyroxene exsolution lamellae) is replaced by pseudomorphous bastite (Figure 7a). Mesh and bastite textures, typical of seafloor alteration under static conditions (e.g., Andreani et al. 2007), are surrounded by hourglass textures characterised by lizardite ± chrysotile sometimes intergrown with fine-grained brucite. In addition, several veins consisting of serpentine + magnetcite ± brucite occur at the original grain boundaries or in mesh textures (Figure 7a, b). These veins (V-

![Figure 4. Relationship between Mg\# \[[100 \times Mg/(Mg + Fe), molar]\] and bias*\((x)\) due to matrix effects during oxygen isotope analysis of antigorite reference materials with the CAMECA IMS-1280 secondary ion mass spectrometer over three measurement sessions. Bias*\((x)\) is the difference in bias for an antigorite RM relative to the normalising antigorite reference material, Al06-44A.](image)

![Figure 5. Diagrams showing bias*\((x)\) in antigorite reference materials. There is no clear correlation between bias and (a) Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), or (b) \(^{16}\)O/\(^{18}\)O.](image)

1) have variable widths, from a few \(\mu\)m up to \(~200\ \mu\)m, and the largest ones consist of lizardite + magnetite ± brucite (V-1a) that are no longer constrained to former grain boundaries and are cross-cut by chrysotile veinlets < 50 \(\mu\)m in width (V-1b; Figure 7b). Major element chemical compositions of the various serpentine generations from sample BA1B-400 are shown in Figure S5 and Table S3b.

**BA1B-20:** Sample BA1B-20 is a completely serpentinised sample from 20 metres depth where the only relics of the primary igneous mineral assemblage are rare Cr-spinels. The igneous texture of the protolith is still preserved locally in mesh textures that, in contrast to sample BA1B-400, do not contain any olivine relics; the contact between mesh core and mesh rims is sharp (Figure 7c), and it is therefore difficult to ascertain which of the two formed first (e.g., O'Hanley 1996). As in sample BA1B-400, serpentine + magnetite ± brucite veins (V-1) follow grain boundaries or are located in mesh textures and show no preferred orientation (Figure 7c). In addition to mesh textures, the matrix of the rock also consists of a serpentine + magnetite assemblage
BSE images have a thickness variable from the vein (Figure 7e, f; Figure S4b). Single chrysotile bands in and are characterised by banding parallel to the edges of veins consist of up to seven different chrysotile generations spectroscopy and SEM-BSE imaging show that the largest V-1 veins are cross-cut, in turn, by later chrysotile veins (V-3) with variable widths (from ~50 to 500 μm in width). Raman spectroscopy and SEM-BSE imaging show that the largest V-1 veins are characterised by banding parallel to the edges of the vein (Figure 7e, f; Figure S4b). Single chrysotile bands in BSE images have a thickness variable from ~1 to ~250 μm (Figure 7e, f). EPMA highlighted that the largest V-3 veins have a symmetric zoning in silica and alumina contents as well as Mg/Fe ratios that vary from the edges towards the centre of the vein (Figure 8). V-3 veins may have formed by a repeated crack-seal mechanism along the same vein (e.g., Ramsay 1980, Andreani et al. 2007), and they form a network of veins mutually cross-cutting at an angle of ~90° (Figure 7f). Major element chemical compositions of all serpentine generations from sample BA1B-20 are shown in Figure S5 and Table S3b.

In situ oxygen isotope measurements in serpentine from Hole BA1B

A total of 523 analyses were performed by SIMS in serpentine from samples BA1B-20 and BA1B-400 (Table S3a). The antigorite AlO6-44A was used as the running (and normalising) reference material, and all antigorite reference materials were analysed at the start of the measurement session to calibrate the relative bias over the compositional range Mg# = 77.5–99.5. Additionally, both lizardite (L3431) and chrysotile (C22908) reference materials were analysed at the start of the measurement session to calibrate bias relative to the antigorite AlO6-44A, as explained in section Relative bias between different serpentine polymorphs. Measured δ18O values of serpentine in samples BA1B-20 and BA1B-400 were corrected according to Equation (4) and reported relative to the V-SMOW scale (Table S3a).

**BA1B-400:** Mesh, hourglass and lizardite V-1a veins from sample BA1B-400 have comparable δ18O values ranging between 4.4–5.6‰, 3.9–7.0‰ and 3.9–7.3‰, respectively (Figure 9; Table S3a). Later chrysotile V-1b veins are characterised by higher oxygen isotope ratios (δ18O = 6.7–8.5‰; Figure 9; Table S3). No correlation was observed between δ18O and (MgO + FeO/total) for any of the analysed serpentine generations (Figure S6).

**BA1B-20:** Mesh cores in sample BA1B-20 are higher in δ18O relative to mesh rims (δ18O = 7.2–9.3‰ versus 6.0–7.3‰, respectively; Figure 9; Table S3a). Comparable δ18O values were measured in ‘matrix Ctl 1’ (δ18O = 7.0–7.8‰), ‘matrix Ctl 2’ (δ18O = 6.6–8.5‰), antigorite (δ18O = 6.8–7.3‰) and V-2 veins (δ18O = 6.8–7.9‰) (Figure 9; Table S3a). Both the lowest and the highest δ18O values in sample BA1B-20 are recorded, respectively, at the edges (~4.6‰s) and centre (~11.0‰) of late V-3 veins (Figures 7d, e, 8, 9). The symmetric chemical and oxygen isotope zoning (up to ~6.5‰) observed in the largest V-3 veins (Figure 8) may suggest that chrysotile generations become progressively younger towards the centre of the veins.

The systematic variation in δ18O values observed within and between the two investigated samples can be summarised in three stages: (i) ‘Stage I’, δ18O values increase by ~3‰ on average from serpentine in the matrix at 400 m depth to serpentine in the matrix at 20 m depth; (ii) ‘Stage II’, δ18O values decrease by ~3‰ on average from serpentine in the matrix to serpentine at the edge of V-3 veins at 20 m depth; and (iii) ‘Stage III’, δ18O values increase by up to ~6.5‰ from the edge towards the core of V-3 veins (Figure 9). Interestingly, oxygen isotope compositions as low as those recorded in the matrix serpentine at 400 m depth are not observed in any of the matrix serpentine at 20 m depth. A possible interpretation of these observations is discussed below.

![Figure 6. Diagram showing the extent of bias due to differences in crystal structure between antigorite and other serpentine reference materials (i.e., Ctl C22908 and Lz/Po.Sp. L3431). Needle orientations: par. = parallel, perp. = perpendicular to sample surface.](image-url)
Figure 7. SEM-BSE images of representative textures in samples BA1B-400 (a, b) and BA1B-20 (c–f). (a) Relic olivine and pyroxene (En: enstatite, Cpx: clinopyroxene) in mesh and bastite textures, respectively, and serpentine + magnetite ± brucite veins (sample BA1B-400). (b) Lizardite + magnetite ± brucite V-1a veins cross-cut by chrysotile V-1b veins in hourglass serpentine matrix with relict Cr-spinel (sample BA1B-400). (c) Mesh textures in sample BA1B-20. (d, e) Fine-grained serpentine veins (V-2) cross-cutting serpentine + magnetite matrix in sample BA1B-20; later banded V-3 veins cross-cut V-2 veins. V-3 veins are chemically and isotopically zoned. (f) V-3 veins forming a network of veins mutually cross-cutting at an angle of ~ 90° (sample BA1B-20). The positions of SIMS pits (white dots) are shown in Figures 7b–f. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
The dependence of equilibrium isotope fractionation on temperature and chemical composition has important geological applications for stable isotopes as geothermometers and tracers of fluid flow provided that isotope fractionation factors between mineral–mineral and mineral–fluid pairs are known and that isotopic equilibrium is achieved and preserved (e.g., Hoefs 2018). Several studies have calibrated the fractionation of oxygen isotopes in serpentine minerals using empirical (e.g., Wenner and Taylor 1971, Früh-Green et al. 1996), theoretical (e.g., Savin and Lee 1988, Zheng 1993) or experimental methodologies (e.g., Saccocia et al. 2009) and can therefore be used to calculate the temperature of serpentinisation (if the $\delta^{18}$O of the fluid is known) or the oxygen isotope composition of the serpentinising fluid (if the equilibrium temperature is known). It is important to note that the various calibrations of the serpentine–water system agree within $\pm2\%$ at temperatures of 250 to 450 °C, while a large discrepancy (> 4% and up to ~20%) is observed when empirical and experimental calibrations are extrapolated to temperatures < 250 °C (Figure 10).

Extrapolation of the experimental calibration by Saccocia et al. (2009) to $T < 250$ °C yields temperatures that are ~ 100 °C higher relative to those calculated by extrapolating the empirical calibration by Früh-Green et al. (1996) and would suggest a lack of serpentine formation during low-$T$ modern water/rock interaction at Hole BA1B (Table S3b). Given that modern interaction with groundwater is inferred at BA sites, we will discuss our results using the empirical calibration by Früh-Green et al. (1996) extrapolated to $T < 250$ °C because it predicts alteration temperatures that are consistent with water temperatures < 50 °C measured in boreholes at BA sites (Nothaft et al. in prep.) as well as in groundwater in adjacent pre-existing wells and
Variations in serpentinising temperature(s) and fluid(s) at Hole BA1B: The systematic variation in $\delta^{18}O$ values observed between the two investigated samples (stages I to III) can be explained with four different models that differ only in the interpretation of ‘Stage I’, whereas the interpretations of ‘Stages II and III’ are similar for all models. Our interpretations for the three different stages are summarised below.

**- Seaﬂoor serpentinisation.** ‘Stage I’ can be the result of four different scenarios that all involve interaction with seawater. In fact, the mesh and hourglass textures observed in sample BA1B-400 have oxygen isotope compositions ($\delta^{18}O = 3.9-7.0\%$) that are consistent with seaﬂoor alteration at $T \sim 124$ °C to $112$ °C ($\delta^{18}O$ seawater $= 0\%$) or $189$ °C to $171$ °C ($\delta^{18}O$ seawater $= +2.5\%$). Higher serpentine $\delta^{18}O$ values measured in chrysotile V-1b veins in the same sample ($\delta^{18}O = 6.7-8.5\%$) and in the matrix serpentine of sample BA1B-20 ($\delta^{18}O = 6.0-9.3\%$) can result from (1) cooling to $~62$ °C if we consider a fluid dominated scenario where the alteration involves seawater and serpentine rock interaction with groundwater having a $\delta^{18}O$ value of at least $-2.5\%$ at serpentinisation temperatures of $\sim 31$ to $67$ °C (Figure 11c). The latter scenarios would be consistent with current water temperatures and $\delta^{18}O$ values of $\sim 35$ to $45$ °C and $-2.6\%$ and $-2.2\%$, respectively, that were measured in the nearby Hole BA1A (Nothaft et al. in prep.) as well as with previous clumped isotope thermometry on carbonate veins in serpentinised peridotites ($< \sim 50$ °C; e.g., Streit et al. 2012). It could also explain at a first approximation the relation between the $\delta^{18}O$ variations in the two samples with the present-day erosional surface. To summarise, ‘Stage I’ could have occurred entirely as seaﬂoor serpentinisation (scenarios 1 to 3; Figure 11a-b) or it could have started in an ocean-floor setting followed by a first influx of meteoric water at low-$T$ in continental setting (scenario 4; Figure 11c).

**- Influx of meteoric water.** Influx of low $\delta^{18}O$ groundwater during ‘Stage II’ is the most likely scenario able to explain the decrease by $\sim 3\%$ on average from serpentine in the matrix of sample BA1B-20 to serpentine at the edge of the latest V-3 veins (Figure 9). The water in equilibrium with serpentine at the edge of V-3 veins (serpentine $\delta^{18}O = 4.6\%$) would have an oxygen isotope composition of $\sim 7\%$ at $T = 32$ °C (Figures 11a-d), which is the mean modern recharge temperature at shallower depth ($< 50$ m) in the peridotite aquifers of Oman (Paukert Vankeuren et al. 2019). Despite $\delta^{18}O$ values of modern groundwater and alkaline springs in the Samail ophiolite vary from $\sim -30\%$ to $\sim +2.5\%$ (e.g., Neal and Stanger 1985, Falk et al. 2016, Miller et al. 2016), speleothem evidence shows that fossil groundwater could have been significantly lower in $\delta^{18}O$. Water fluid inclusions extracted from stalagmites from the Hoti Cave (northern Oman) dating to the last interglacial period ($\sim 125$ ka) record $\delta^{18}O$ values of $\sim -7.8\%$ to $-4.2\%$, and mean $\delta^{18}O$ values in speleothems were $\sim 6\%$ lower during the last interglacial period than they are today (Fleitmann et al. 2011, Nicholson et al. 2020).

Increase in serpentine $\delta^{18}O$ values of $\sim 6.5\%$ from the edge to the core of V-3 veins (‘Stage III; Figure 9) is most likely explained by a shift to higher $\delta^{18}O$ values of
groundwater due to waterrock interaction or mixing with more positive $\delta^{18}O$ fluids (Figure 11d). The chemical zoning recorded by chrysotile in V-3 veins also suggests a variation in the chemistry of the fluid circulating through the veins. Interestingly, the variation in serpentine $\delta^{18}O$ values in V-3 veins is comparable to the increase by ~6‰ on average $\delta^{18}O$ values measured in speleothems from the Hoti Cave in northern Oman (Fleitmann et al. 2011). It is unlikely that the oxygen isotope zoning measured in V-3 veins is due solely to cooling because it would require a decrease in temperature by ~50 °C (at constant $\delta^{18}O$ fluid). This would be inconsistent with the observation that the older groundwater (> 60 y old) sampled at depths > 50 m in the peridotite aquifer has noble gas temperatures (NGTs) that are only ~7 °C lower (or ~25 °C on average) relative to the younger groundwater (4–40 y old) sampled at depths.

Figure 11. Models for thermal and fluid history of serpentinisation from samples BA1B-400 and BA1B-20. Temperatures are based on measured $\delta^{18}O$ values in serpentine (serpentine–water oxygen isotope fractionation factors after Früh-Green et al. 1996). 'Stage I' is interpreted as the result of (a) cooling during seafloor alteration assuming a constant $\delta^{18}O$ value of 0‰ for seawater, (b) increase in the $\delta^{18}O$ value of seawater from 0‰ to +2.5‰ during ocean-floor alteration due to interaction with the oceanic crust at a relatively constant temperature and (c) seafloor alteration followed by a first influx of meteoric water with a $\delta^{18}O$ value of at least ~2.5‰ at $T \leq 50$ °C. (a–c) The most likely scenario for 'Stage II' is the influx of meteoric water with a $\delta^{18}O$ value of ~7‰ at $T = 32$ °C that is the mean modern recharge temperature at shallow depth (< 50 m) in peridotite aquifers in Oman. Alternatively, a $\delta^{18}O$ value of at least ~5‰ is required for the formation of chrysotile at the edges of V-3 veins at $T \leq 50$ °C. (d) The most likely scenario for 'Stage III' is the shift of groundwater $\delta^{18}O$ to more positive values as a result of water/rock interaction or mixing with higher $\delta^{18}O$ meteoric fluids. See text for more details. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
< 50 m in the peridotite aquifer (NGTs = 30 to 33 °C) (Paukert Vankeuren et al. 2019).

**Need for future studies:** In order to realise the full potential of in situ oxygen isotope measurement to decipher the complex history of serpentinites and to correctly attribute different serpentine generations to the three hydrothermal events recorded in Hole BA1B in the Samail ophiolite, it is crucial to (i) determine a more accurate calibration of the oxygen isotope fractionation between serpentine and water at $T < 250^\circ$C due to the current uncertainty associated with the extrapolation of the experimental and empirical calibrations at low temperatures; (ii) analyse more samples located at intermediate depths in the drill core BA1B as well as in nearby holes at BA sites in order to understand how the variation in $\delta^{18}O$ values measured in serpentine minerals relates to the modern hydrology of the aquifer; and (iii) couple in situ measurement of oxygen isotopes in serpentine and co-precipitated minerals (e.g., magnetite, carbonates, talc, brucite and quartz) observed in different localities of the Samail ophiolite in order to better constrain the temperatures of serpentinisation and potentially build an empirical calibration of the serpentine–water oxygen isotope fractionation at low temperature. These further studies will have implications for correctly understanding the physical and chemical conditions of serpentinisation not only in the Samail ophiolite but also in other tectonic settings worldwide.

**Conclusions**

In situ measurement of oxygen isotopes by SIMS in serpentine minerals can be accurately done over the full compositional range normally found in nature ($\text{Mg}^\# = 77.5–99.5$ mole %) with a precision of $\leq 0.5\%$\(^o\) (2s) in antigorite and lizardite, and $\sim 1\%$\(^o\) in chrysotile due to the occurrence of grain orientation effects. Matrix bias effects up to $\sim 1.8\%$\(^o\) were observed in antigorite reference materials with variable Mg/Fe ratio, demonstrating the need of matrix-matched reference materials for ensuring accuracy of analyses in unknown samples.

Application of the new analytical protocol allowed accurate measurements of systematic variation in $\delta^{18}O$ values of up to $\sim 7\%$\(^o\) at the micrometre scale in two serpentine samples from the active serpentinisation site BA1B in the Samail ophiolite, which would have been concealed by bulk analyses. We suggest that both seafloor alteration and modern water/rock interaction are recorded at Hole BA1B by the serpentine in the matrix of sample BA1B-400 and by the latest V-3 veins in sample BA1B-20, respectively.
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