Zircon U-Pb and geochemical signatures in high-pressure, low-temperature metamorphic rocks as recorders of subduction zone processes, Sikinos and Ios islands, Greece
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ABSTRACT
Zircon U-Pb dating is a powerful and widely used geochronologic technique to constrain the timing and rates of magmatic and high and lower-grade metamorphic processes, as well as sediment provenance. Zircon trace element (TE) compositions also record magmatic and metamorphic processes during zircon growth. In this study, zircon laser ablation split-stream (LA-SS)-ICP-MS U-Pb and TE depth-profiling and novel two-dimensional zircon mapping techniques are used in combination with oxygen isotope analyses (secondary ion mass spectrometry, SIMS) to reconstruct the timing and metamorphic conditions recorded by recrystallization and growth of zircon rims, which provide valuable insight into the petro-tectonic evolution of high-pressure/low-temperature (HP/LT) metamorphic rocks formed in subduction zones. These techniques are applied to zircon grains from HP/LT metamorphic rocks of the Cycladic Blueschist Unit (CBU) and Cycladic Basement (CB) on Sikinos and Ios islands, Greece, which experienced metamorphism and deformation associated with subduction and subsequent back-arc exhumation. Zircon records multiple episodes of non-magmatic zircon rim growth at ~50 Ma and ~ 26 Ma. Eocene metamorphic rims are associated with HP/LT metamorphism and are observed in both units, suggesting likely juxtaposition prior to or during subduction and associated HP metamorphism. The similarity between TE concentrations and δ 18O values of the Eocene rims and their corresponding cores is an indicator for recrystallization and precipitation as a mechanism of zircon growth. In contrast, Oligocene zircon rims appear to be restricted to a < 0.5 km thick zone along the CB-CBU contact, characterized by garnet break-down, and show HREE enrichment and higher δ 18O values in the rims compared to the cores, consistent with a model suggesting metasomatic infiltration of fluids derived from dehydrating sedimentary rocks during progressive subduction and underplating prior to back-arc extension. This metamorphism appears to be static in nature and does not support major late Cenozoic reactivation of the contact as an extensional shear zone during back-arc extension.

1. Introduction
Subduction has recycled oceanic lithosphere throughout much of Earth’s history and is thus critical for long-term element and volatile cycling. Although some convergent margin processes, such as seismicity or arc magmatism are well studied and have been of paramount importance in the formulation of the plate tectonics paradigm, most structural, metamorphic, and metasomatic processes related to subduction are obscured due to continued overprinting and recycling into the mantle. In particular, processes happening along the subduction interface, including meso- and micro-scale deformation and concomitant metamorphism operating along the plate interface in subduction zones, are not directly accessible. However, exhumed subduction complexes, exposed by either progressive subduction, back-arc extension, or subsequent continental collisions, provide an opportunity to investigate the temporal, structural, metamorphic, thermal and rheological
evolution of convergent margins (e.g., Agard et al., 2018; Bebout and Penniston-Dorland, 2016; Brun and Faccenna, 2008; Cloos, 1993; Van-nucchi et al., 2008). Detailed integration of isotopic and elemental microanalyses provide insight to the metamorphic conditions of these events during prograde and retrograde P-T paths within subduction complexes.

Zircon U-Pb dating is a well-established and robust geochronological technique widely used to determine the crystallization ages of igneous rocks and detrital provenance age spectra of sedimentary rocks. Although zircon was traditionally viewed as a highly refractory phase, it has recently been shown that, depending on the protolith composition, zircon can recrystallize and record various metamorphic reactions and rock-fluid interactions (e.g., Kohn and Kelly, 2018). Over the last 20 years, various studies have tried to understand the nature of metamorphic zircon rims by using U-Pb and trace element (TE) analyses (e.g., Kohn and Kelly, 2018; Rubatto, 2017). In particular, advances in micrometer-scale spot analytical techniques reveal a more detailed picture of the geologic history recorded by radiometric ages and trace-element chemical composition of zircon in metamorphic rocks (e.g., Kylander-Clark, 2017; Schmitt and Vazquez, 2017; Page et al., 2014, 2019). These micrometer-scale spot techniques are particularly useful to investigate high-grade and multi-stage metamorphism (e.g., Chen et al., 2010; Kelly and Harley, 2005; Yuan et al., 2008; Whitehouse and Platt, 2003). The timing of these events can be constrained by radiometric dating of the rims (e.g., Odlum and Stockli, 2019; Seymour et al., 2016).

Laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) and secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) methods combined with cathodoluminescence images provide the spatial resolution necessary to investigate complex zircon growth zones. In this study, we apply zircon U-Pb and TE depth profile analysis, two-dimensional age mapping, and oxygen isotope analyses to distinguish multiple generations of zircon growth and to better understand the metamorphic processes affecting high-pressure rocks during subduction and exhumation in the Southern Cyclades, Greece. In previous studies, a total of 141 samples were collected from both Sikinos and Ios and analyzed by zircon U-Pb depth-profiling (Flansburg et al., 2019; Poulaki et al., 2019); 42 of these samples exhibited resolvable zircon overgrowths of ~26 Ma and/or ~50 Ma and they are the focus of this study. Sikinos and Ios islands in the southern Cyclades, Greece (Fig. 1) are part of a metamorphic core complex in the back-arc of the Hellenic subduction zone and have experienced subduction, subsequent exhumation, and arc magmatism (e.g., Jolivet and Brun, 2010; Pe-Piper and Piper, 2007). The Aegean domain has a well-constrained geologic setting, which provides the necessary geologic context to determine when and under what metamorphic conditions zircon rims form. This work provides further constraints on how metamorphic zircon forms during subduction related metamorphism and the sources of the fluids during exhumation, as well as the nature of the contact between the Cycladic Basement (CB) and the Cycladic Blueschist Unit (CBU).

2. Geo-chemistry and isotopic systematics of metamorphic zircon

The exact conditions necessary for metamorphic zircon rim formation during HP/LT metamorphism are widely debated. Studies propose that zircon rims can form during prograde, retrograde, and/or at peak metamorphism (Bingen et al., 2001; Hermann and Rubatto, 2003; Kelly and Harley, 2005; Kohn and Kelly, 2018; Rubatto, 2002; Schaltegger et al., 1999; Vavra et al., 1999). In contrast, other work suggests that zircon rim formation does not strongly depend on pressure and temperature conditions, but rather is controlled by the surrounding mineral and fluid phases (Geisler et al., 2007; Hoskin, 2005; Hoskin and Schaltegger, 2003; Rubatto, 2017). The equilibrium mineralogic assemblage of metamorphic rocks undergoes many complex prograde and retrograde phase transformations. Since zircon can be stable over a large range of P-T conditions, it can have equilibrated with phase assemblages that are no longer present in the preserved rock, such as garnet and feldspar.

However, metamorphic zircon grains preserve a large range of TE concentrations that can be used as indicators for their metamorphic origin. A common proxy used to differentiate magmatic from metamorphic zircon is Th/U, which is commonly less than 0.1 for metamorphic rocks (Williams et al., 1996; Williams, 2001; Rubatto, 2002). However, use of Th/U < 0.1 to discriminate between magmatic and metamorphic zircon can be misleading and should be used with caution since Th-rich accessory phases (e.g., allanite and monazite) strongly
influence bulk rock Th budgets (e.g., Harley and Kelly, 2007; Kelly and Harley, 2005; Korhonen et al., 2013; Rubatto, 2017; Vavra et al., 1999; Yakymchuk et al., 2018). The incorporation of REEs and TEs released by the breakdown of surrounding mineral phases allows individual stages of zircon growth to be associated with specific metamorphic P-T conditions (e.g., Kelly and Harley, 2005; Rubatto and Hermann, 2003; Schaltegger et al., 1999).

The growth of coeval minerals such as garnet, monazite, and feldspar can regulate the REE concentrations in metamorphic zircon (e.g., Murrali et al., 1983; Rubatto, 2002; Schaltegger et al., 1999). For instance, zircon forming in the presence of feldspar yield negative Eu anomalies (e.g., Kohn, 2016; Rubatto, 2002; Schaltegger et al., 1999) and zircon depleted in light and medium REEs (LREE and MREE) may form in the presence of titanite, allanite, or monazite (Rubatto et al., 1999). In addition, zircon formed during HP/LT metamorphism in the presence of garnet would be depleted in HREE (e.g., Rubatto, 2017). However, zircon formed in the absence of minerals that dominate REE budgets, such as garnet, may have TE and REE concentrations that reflect contemporaneous fluid evolution. For example, garnet mica schist basement metasedimentary rocks with maximum depositional ages (MDAs) from Neoproterozoic to Carboniferous (Flansburg et al., 2019; Keay and Lister, 2002; Poulaki et al., 2019; Van der Maar and Jolivet, 2013; Zlatkin et al., 2018). On both Ios and Sikinos, the CBU is composed of Permian to Cretaceous metasedimentary and meta-volcanic rocks (Flansburg et al., 2019; Poulaki et al., 2019). The CBU on both islands contains meta-basic lithologies, quartz mica schist, garnet-clinozoisite-bearing schist and calcite to dolomitic marble. The P-T estimates for the HP/LT metamorphism on Ios and Sikinos vary widely, including estimates of 25 kbar at 540 °C (Perraki and Mposkos, 2001), 18.5 ± 3 kbar at 510 ± 20 °C (Augier et al., 2015; Huet et al., 2009), and 11 kbar at 475 °C (Gupta and Bickle, 2004). The timing of peak HP/LT metamorphism has been estimated at ~50 to ~40 Ma, whereas the greenschist facies metamorphism is defined at ~20 to ~30 Ma based on 40Ar/39Ar dating of white mica and K-feldspar (e.g., Baldwin and Lister, 1998; Forster et al., 2020). We apply integrated geochemical and geochronometric methods to both the CB and CBU to understand their multi-stage evolution and set further constraints on the nature of the contact between the two units.

3. Geologic setting

The Attico-Cycladic complex is one of the most exceptional places where subduction zone rocks are exposed at the surface. The exposed subduction complex rocks are located in the upper plate of the Hellenic subduction zone and were exhumed during back-arc extension in the late Cenozoic due to continued subduction and slab-rollback (e.g., Jolivet and Brun, 2010; Papanikolaou, 2013; Pe-Piper and Piper, 2002; Ring et al., 2010; Royden, 1993). This regional two-stage exhumation event consisted of buoyancy-driven underplating during Eocene subduction and later Miocene core-complex style extension triggered by trench retreat and rollback of the African slab. The final stages of exhumation were accommodated along low-angle normal faults in late Miocene time (Coleman et al., 2013; Jolivet and Brun, 2010; Lister et al., 1984; Papanikolaou, 2013; Ring et al., 2010; Schneider et al., 2011; Schneider et al., 2011a; Schneider et al., 2018b; Soukis and Stockli, 2013).

Eocene HP/LT metamorphism is documented on many Cycladic islands; age constraints consist of: 40Ar/39Ar mica ages of ~45 ± 5 Ma of blueschist metamorphism on Naxos (Andriessen et al., 1979a; Andriessen et al., 1979b; Wijbrans and McDougall, 1986; Wijbrans and McDougall, 1988), and white mica Rb/Sr and 40Ar/39Ar ages and garnet Sm-Nd ages of ~49 to 55 Ma for peak HP/LT metamorphism on Syros, Sifnos, Tinos, and Ios islands (Baldwin and Lister, 1998; Brocker et al., 1993, 2013; Clift et al., 2017; Dragovic et al., 2015; Lagos et al., 2007; Lister and Forster, 2016; Tomaschek et al., 2003). Subsequent greenschist facies metamorphism has been attributed to early syn-subduction exhumation following southward migration of the magmatic arc and contemporaneous exhumation during back-arc extension. Commence-ment of large-scale continental extension in the central Aegean has been suggested to occur at ~23 Ma (e.g., Lister et al., 1984; Ring et al., 2010). Numerous studies have shown that activity along the West and North Cycladic detachment started in the Miocene (e.g., Jolivet et al., 2010; Grasemann et al., 2012; Soukis and Stockli, 2013). On Naxos, the age of greenschist-facies retrogression has been proposed at ~25 to ~20 Ma (Andriessen et al., 1979a; Andriessen et al., 1991), ~27 to ~19 Ma (Wijbrans and McDougall, 1988), or at ~23 to ~20 Ma (Duchene et al., 2006). On Syros, during the later stages of exhumation, it has been proposed that the CBU interacted with aqueous fluids at ~25 to ~35 km (Miller et al., 2009). Recent studies show that Syros experienced greenschist facies metamorphism with temperatures from 500 to 400 °C according to quartz-calcite oxygen isotope thermometry in epidote boudin necks (Cisneros et al., 2021), and indicate deformation during dynamic greenschist facies occurred around ~36 Ma based on Rb-Sr isochron (Kotowski et al., 2021). On Tinos, the Oligocene-Miocene greenschist facies overprint is estimated to result from temperatures of ~400 to 500 °C and pressures from 4 to 9 kbar (Brocker et al., 1993). Further north in Evvia and Samos islands, the Basal Unit tectonically underlies the CBU (Papanikolaou, 1979; Ring et al., 1999, 2001). The Basal Unit is a Mesozoic–early Cenozoic meta-carbonate sequence with an Eocene meta-flysch that has experienced a Miocene (Ring et al., 2001) or Oligocene HP metamorphism (Brocker et al., 2004). In the southern Cyclades, Sikinos and Ios islands contain exposures of the CB and the overlying metasedimentary CBU (Fig. 1). The CB is composed of a suite of heterogeneously deformed Carboniferous gran-itoids, and aplite dikes intruded into quartzo-feldspathic schist and garnet mica schist basement metasedimentary rocks with maximum depositional ages (MDAs) from Neoproterozoic to Carboniferous (Flansburg et al., 2019; Keay and Lister, 2002; Poulaki et al., 2019; Van der Maar and Jolivet, 2013; Zlatkin et al., 2018). On both Ios and Sikinos, the CBU is composed of Permian to Cretaceous metasedimentary and meta-volcanic rocks (Flansburg et al., 2019; Poulaki et al., 2019). The CBU on both islands contains meta-basic lithologies, quartz mica schist, calc-schist, garnet-glaucophane-bearing schist and calcite to dolomitic marble. The P-T estimates for the HP/LT metamorphism on Ios and Sikinos vary widely, including estimates of 25 kbar at 540 °C (Perraki and Mposkos, 2001), 18.5 ± 3 kbar at 510 ± 20 °C (Augier et al., 2015; Huet et al., 2009), and 11 kbar at 475 °C (Gupta and Bickle, 2004). The timing of peak HP/LT metamorphism has been estimated at ~50 to ~40 Ma, whereas the greenschist facies metamorphism is defined at ~20 to ~30 Ma based on 40Ar/39Ar dating of white mica and K-feldspar (e.g., Baldwin and Lister, 1998; Forster et al., 2020). We apply integrated geochemical and geochronometric methods to both the CB and CBU to understand their multi-stage evolution and set further constraints on the nature of the contact between the two units.

4. Methodology

A series of methodological steps is used to characterize the age and geochemistry of zircons collected from the CBU and CB of Sikinos and Ios. LA-ICP-MS depth-profiling analyses of unpolished zircon grains (length from 80 to 200 μm) adhered to a tape mount yield one-dimensional chemical and isotopic profiles (Marsh and Stockli, 2015) and allow for discrimination of zircon rims (overgrowths) from magmatic cores. Due to the multistage metamorphic evolution of these rocks, zircon depth-profiling allows us to discern age zonation that could not be analyzed if the grain was polished, since these zones are often very thin (<10 μm) and are typically removed to expose cores of polished zircon. Samples that exhibit non-magmatic overgrowths were further analyzed by using laser ablation split-stream (LA-SS)-ICP-MS to simultaneously measure a profile of U-Pb age and trace element concentrations from the rim into the core (Fig. 2). Selected zircon grains were mounted in epoxy, polished, and CL imaged prior to the measurement of oxygen isotope ratios via SIMS to evaluate the potential fluid sources involved in late-stage metamorphic zircon growth. Finally, two-dimensional U-Pb age maps were generated for select grains to better understand the zircon morphology, zonation, and isotopic heterogeneity.
4.1. Zircon U-Pb and TE depth profile (LA-SS)-ICP-MS analyses

Depth-profiling (LA-SS)-ICP-MS analyses on zircon grains was conducted using a Photon Machines Teledyne Analyte G.2193 nm Excimer laser and two Thermo Element2 HR-ICP-MS following procedures from Marsh and Stockli (2015) at the UTChron Laboratories, University of Texas at Austin. U-Pb depth profiles were constructed with single 30 µm spots ablated for 30 s with an energy of 4 mJ and repetition rate of 10 Hz. Ablation rates of 0.5 µm/s result in profiles of the outer −15 µm of each grain. Zircon rims were distinguished from cores during data reduction by the presence of a step function in the elemental concentrations and age and by selecting a well-defined “plateau” in the 206Pb/238U final age. Zircon standard GJ1 was used as the primary reference material (601.7 ± 1.3 Ma; Jackson et al., 2004) and Plessovic (337.1 ± 0.4 Ma; Slama et al., 2008) was used as a secondary standard. Data were reduced using the VisualAge Data Reduction Scheme addition to Iolite v3.7 (Paton et al., 2011; Petrus and Kamber, 2012). Zircon rim ages with more than 30% discordance between 206Pb/238U and 207Pb/235U ages were discarded; ages are reported with 2-sigma propagated errors. Zircon U-Pb data from metasedimentary samples are plotted with Kernel Density Estimate (KDE) histograms (Vermeech, 2012) using the detritalPy Python script (Sharman et al., 2018).

For TE analyses, NIST612 glass was used as the primary reference material and AusZ2 zircon as the secondary standard (Kennedy et al., 2014). The stoichiometric concentration of silicon in zircon (15.32%) was used for internal standardization of TE concentrations. Data reduction utilized the Trace Element IS Data Reduction Scheme in Iolite (Woodhead et al., 2007). U-Pb and TE depth profile data from the two mass spectrometers were synced, allowing for precise correlation. The entire continuous 30 s ablation trace was parsed into 1-s increments (0.5 µm/s), exported and chondrite normalized (McDonough and Sun, 1995), allowing for easy illustration of U/Pb age and TE composition from zircon rim to core.

4.2. Oxygen isotope analyses

Oxygen isotope ratios were measured on apparent core and rim pairs from detrital zircon grains (n = 16) from sample IOS16212 using the CAMECA IMS 1280 high-resolution, multi-collector ion microprobe at the WiscSIMS Laboratory, University of Wisconsin–Madison. Oxygen isotope ratios were analyzed using a 133Cs+ primary beam focused to a spot of ~10 µm diameter. Analysis pits were 1–2 µm deep. Ions of 18O− and 16O were simultaneously collected in two movable Faraday cup detectors with an average 16O intensity of 2.8 × 106 cps and 18O/H was collected in the axial Faraday cup to check for traces of water in zircon. Cathodoluminescence images were used to identify zircon rims with the short nature of ablation, the ICP-MS method was set to measure only the necessary isotopes 206Pb, 207Pb, 232Th, and 238U. Values for 235U were calculated from the measured 238U using the natural ratio (238U/235U = 137.88). Data reduction was performed using Iolite 3.7 with the VisualAge DBS (Paton et al., 2011; Petrus and Kamber, 2012). Correction for downhole elemental fractionation was minimal due to the very shallow ablation pits. Exported U/Pb age data were combined with coordinate information and a map was created using an in-house Python code. We filtered out ages that have larger than 30% 2-sigma error.

5. Results

We present the results of zircon depth profile U-Pb (42 samples, see Appendix A-DS1) and trace element (three samples, see Appendix A-DS2) analyses from Ios and Sikinos. Results include the timing of metamorphic and the geochemical signature of zircon during these different metamorphic stages. In addition, we present CL images (Fig. S2), SIMS oxygen isotope analyses (one sample, Appendix A-DS3) and two-dimensional zircon elemental maps (two samples, Appendix A-DS4) to further understand grain morphology and the source of the fluids which interacted with these grains during metamorphism.

5.1. Zircon U-Pb depth-profiling

Of all the samples analyzed previously for U-Pb crystallization and maximum depositional ages (N > 100; Flansburg et al., 2019; Poulaki et al., 2019), 42 of them contained zircon with rims that yielded U-Pb ages resolvable by depth-profiling (>1 µm). Zircon rim ages fall into two
age groups of \( \sim 20 \) to 35 Ma and \( \sim 45 \) to 65 Ma (Fig. 3). Both meta-sedimentary and meta-granitoid rock samples from the CBU and CB record Eocene metamorphic rims, whereas only meta-sedimentary samples in proximity to the CB/CBU contact had additional zircon Oligocene rims. In total, zircon overgrowths were identified in six samples from the CB and 36 samples from the CBU (\( n = 42 \), Siktinos = 17, Isos = 25; Appendix A-Table1).

The majority of the CBU samples with Eocene rims (\( n = 34 \)) are quartz mica schists with varying abundance of chlorite, glaucophane, and actinolite, and two samples are meta-conglomerates. Most samples are strongly overprinted with greenschist-facies minerals (e.g., chlorite, epidote) associated with metamorphic retrogression. Eocene rims preserved within CBU samples constitute up to \( \sim 41\% \) of the total zircon grains analyzed within a single sample. Of the six samples collected from the CB which record Eocene age zircon rims, four are granitic gneisses with feldspar, quartz, and white mica, and two are mica schists (Appendix A-Table). On Isos, Carboniferous granites and granitic gneisses of the CB also record Eocene metamorphic overgrowths. These samples are located at varying locations in the Isos metamorphic dome and do not correlate to proximity with the CB/CBU contact (Figs. 1 and 3). Eocene rims within plutonic CBU samples constitute up to 10\% of the total zircon grains analyzed for U-Pb and range in age from \( \sim 40 \) to 65 Ma (Fig. 3) (Flansburg et al., 2019; Poulaki et al., 2019). On Isos, the metasedimentary CB also preserves Eocene rims from 40 to 60 Ma in <1\% of total detrital zircon analyzed.

Thirteen samples collected within 50 m of the CB-CBU contact from both Siktinos (\( n = 7 \)) and Isos (\( n = 6 \)), preserve two different generations of zircon overgrowths at \( \sim 20–35 \) and \( \sim 40–65 \) Ma. Even though zircon rims from the South Contact have the same ages as the other groups they show a wider distribution of ages. This larger spread of data is likely attributed to the smaller number of grains with rims found and analyzed in the samples. Oligocene rims from CBU samples are preserved in up to 44\% of the total zircon grains analyzed and the main lithologies are quartz-mica schists with variable amounts of chlorite, albite, sodic amphibole, and garnet with only a few samples containing high pressure indicative minerals (Appendix A-Table1). Oligocene rims from the metasedimentary CB on Isos were preserved in \( \sim 1\% \) of the detrital zircon population. These rims are contained within one conglomeratic gneissic schist and one quartz-mica schist collected from the metasedimentary stack on southern Isos west of Magganiari, within \( \sim 200–500 \) m of their contact with the intrusive CB granitoids and within 100–200 m of the CB tectonic sliver (Fig. 1).

The significant dispersion of the observed apparent rim ages is likely attributable to the discordance, inheritance, common lead and high age uncertainties of thin rims. While the use of more restrictive discordance filters narrows the age range of rim growth (Fig. S5), no systematic shift in the population. These rims are contained within one conglomeratic gneissic schist and one quartz-mica schist collected from the metasedimentary stack on southern Isos west of Magganiari, within \( \sim 200–500 \) m of their contact with the intrusive CB granitoids and within 100–200 m of the CB tectonic sliver (Fig. 1).

5.2. Zircon split stream TE and REE compositions

Trace element and REE compositions were analyzed in 46 grains from three samples by (LA-SS)-ICP-MS (Figs. 5, 6, 7, S1). Sample SIK1603 (quartz-mica schist with garnet pseudomorphs, (Fig. 8G-1) preserved only Oligocene rims (\( n = 8 \) grains); Sample IOS1621 (quartz-mica schist with minor rutile, and minor garnet pseudomorphs) preserved Oligocene and Eocene rims (\( n = 23 \) grains); Sample IOS1602 (mica-chlorite-feldspar-quartz schist) preserved only Eocene rims (\( n = 15 \) grains). TE and U-Pb data are time resolved in 1-s increments of ablation, with ablation time used as a proxy for depth in the grain (from rim to core), and then subdivided into groups of Oligocene rims, Eocene rims, and magmatic cores. Mixing ages between these growth zones were excluded.

Magmatic cores of detrital zircon yield bimodal TE patterns (Fig. 2A). One group of grains (Fig. 2A, grain IOS1602_4) has a strong negative Eu anomaly and lacks a positive Ce anomaly, whereas the second group of grains preserves both a negative Eu anomaly (Fig. 2A-grain IOS1621_46, see Fig. 5F, for Ce/Ce* vs. Eu/Eu*) and a positive Ce anomaly. For all grains, the Th/U ratio is greater than 0.4 and spans up to 2 in the cores (Fig. 5C). RHEE chondrite-normalized plots do not have very steep profiles for the HREE and MREE (Lu/Sm <1000, Dy/Sm < 100, Lu/ Ho < 10), whereas steeper slopes are observed for some of the samples in the LREE (Nd/La(100) and others preserve flat profiles (Fig. 2A, S1, L). In the detrital zircon cores, Th concentrations range from 100 to 2000 ppm and U concentrations range from 0.1 to 2000 ppm (Fig. 7G-I). Hf concentrations are consistent in the cores among the three samples with values of \( \sim 10,000 \) ppm. Lu and Y concentrations show a large range in the magmatic cores from 10 to 300 ppm and from 500 to 6000 ppm, respectively.

For Eocene zircon overgrowths, calculated Th/U ratios span from 0.05 to 0.4 (Fig. 5B, 6B, C). Chondrite-normalized REE element patterns from individual zircon grain rims and cores show variations in Ce and Eu anomalies both within samples and among grains from the same sample. The Eocene zircon rims, in most cases, have larger Eu and Ce anomalies compared to their corresponding cores (Fig. 6E, F). The Eu anomalies range from 0.1 to 1.0, whereas the Ce anomalies range from 0.1 to 10 for sample IOS1602, and from 6 to 60 for sample IOS1621 (Fig. 5E, 6E, F). The LREE concentrations are depleted within the Eocene rims compared to their cores, the Eocene rims also exhibit less steep slopes with (Nd/ La)\( \lt 25 \), in some cores with (Nd/La)\( \lt 25 \) (Fig. 2B, 5K, 6H, I). The measured MREE have similar or lower concentrations within rims and have steeper or similar slopes (Dy/Sm)\( \lt 50 \), whereas the Eocene rims have flat slopes and are depleted in the MREE in comparison to their cores (Figs. 2B, 5H). The HREE have similar concentrations in both the Eocene rims and the cores (Lu/Sm)\( \lt 1000 \) (Figs. 2B, 5H, 6K, L) with a few outliers in sample IOS1602 with Dy/Sm in the rims being higher than in the cores (Fig. 6K). Th concentrations for the Eocene rim span from 0.1 to 250 ppm (Fig. 7H, I). U concentrations preserve an opposite pattern with the magmatic cores having concentrations from 100 to 1400 ppm and the zircon overgrowths from 5000 to 12,000 ppm with three data points at 14,000 (Fig. 7G-I). Hf content is similar to their corresponding core with values \( \sim 10,000 \) ppm, but slightly enriched in some grains of sample IOS1621 with values \( \sim 14,000 \) ppm. A similar pattern is also preserved in the Hf concentrations, with the Eocene rims having the same concentrations as the cores (\( \sim 10,000 \) ppm) (Fig. 7A-C). Lu and Y concentrations for the Eocene rims range from 250 to 300 ppm and 2000–4000 ppm, respectively, and in the same range of values with their corresponding cores (Fig. 7D-F).

The Oligocene rims identified in SIK1603 and IOS1621 exhibit different signatures from both Eocene rims and magmatic cores. The Th/ U ratios for Oligocene rims in SIK1603 span from 0.01 to 0.1 and in IOS1621 from 0.05 to 0.3 (Fig. 5A, 6A, C). The Oligocene rims have larger negative Eu anomalies (Eu/Eu* < 2.5) with respect to their cores (Eu/Eu* < 2) as well as in comparison to the Eu anomalies of Eocene rims (Eu/Eu* < 1) in both SIK1603 and IOS1621 samples (Fig. 5D, 6D, F). The Ce anomalies in the Oligocene rims (Ce/Ce* < 40) are larger than the anomalies in the Eocene rims (Ce/Ce* > 30) for sample IOS1621 and similar for sample SIK1603. Ce is overall depleted for the Oligocene rims in comparison to their corresponding cores Ce/Ce* < 100 for sample SIK1603 and has similar concentrations in sample IOS1621 (Fig. 5D, 6D, F). The MREE and HREE in the Oligocene rims are highly enriched (Fig. 2C) with steep slopes ((Lu/Sm)\( \lt 500 \)) in comparison to both the depleted cores with flat slopes ((Lu/Sm)\( \lt 500 \)) and the Eocene rims ((Lu/Sm)\( \lt 1000 \)) (Fig. 5C, G, 6J, L). The HREE are also highly enriched in the Oligocene zircon rims in comparison to their
Fig. 3. A) Island maps indicate the sample locations and lithologies. B) Cross sections of Sikinos and Ios Islands show relative samples' locations with respect to the CBU/CB contact. C) Metamorphic zircon kernel density estimates (KDEs) of Cycladic Blueschist Unit (CBU) and Cycladic Basement (CB) samples collected from Sikinos and Ios. KDEs represent multiple samples grouped based on the sample location. N is the number of samples; n is the number of zircon grains. N is the number of samples; n is the number of zircon grains plotted vs. the total number of grains analyzed (grains older than 110 Ma are not shown). Prominent age modes for only the zircon rims are represented by different colors (blue for Eocene, yellow for Oligocene and Eocene). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
cores. Oligocene rims in sample SIK1603 have steep slopes (Lu/Ho)N < 50 with respect to their cores (Lu/Ho)N = 10, while IOS1621 has flatter slopes (Lu/Ho)N < 15 with respect to cores that have (Lu/Ho)N < 6 (Fig. 5C, J, 6G, I). The LREE of Oligocene rims are depleted with respect to their cores and have less steep slopes ((Nd/La)N < 30, with two data points with (Nd/La)N = 120) in contrast to the magmatic cores ((Nd/ La)N < 250), similar to the depletion evident in Eocene rims when compared to their corresponding cores (Fig. 5C, J, 6G-I). For sample SIK1603, Th concentrations span from 0.01 to 50 ppm, while Oligocene rims in both samples have lower Th concentrations than their cores. The U concentrations preserve an opposite pattern with concentrations from 0.1 to 10,000 ppm, with the lower values present in sample SIK1603 (Fig. 7G-I). The HF contents of Oligocene rims are enriched in both samples (HF = 6000–16,000 ppm) in comparison to their cores and Eocene rims (Fig. 7A-C). Y concentrations in sample SIK1603 are similar between rims and cores with ~500 to 1000 ppm, whereas in sample IOS1621 the rims have similar or slightly higher concentrations of Y than the cores at ~4000 to 7000 ppm. Lu is enriched in both samples (Hf (Nd/La)N = 6000–16,000 ppm) in comparison to their cores and Eocene rims when compared to their corresponding cores (Fig. 7A-C). Y concentrations in sample SIK1603 are similar between rims and cores with ~500 to 1000 ppm, whereas in sample IOS1621 the rims have similar or slightly higher concentrations of Y than the cores at ~4000 to 7000 ppm. Lu is enriched in both samples with values from 100 to 600 ppm (Fig. 7A-F).

5.3. Cathodoluminescence and two-dimensional U-Pb age maps

Panchromatic CL images were taken of 18 zircon grains from sample SIK1603 and IOS1621 that preserved Oligocene overgrowths, and monochromatic CL images were made for 12 zircon from sample IOS1621 that preserve Eocene and Oligocene overgrowths (Fig. S2). The CL images show distinct rims that transect primary textures (Figs. 2D, S2). The panchromatic CL images of the Oligocene rims (Figs. 2D, S2) reveal strong colour differences among different zones, with most of the grains preserving two or three distinct zones. In most grains, a uniform dark core is surrounded by a well-developed inner zone, whereas the outermost zones have lighter spectra as well as a “cauliflower” texture (Figs. 2D, S2). The zircon grains with Oligocene rims are mostly euhedral and have magmatic cores with oscillatory zoning (Fig. 9). The Eocene rims do not have zoning and are homogeneous in the CL images.

Spatial age variations within these zircon grains were further investigated by obtaining U-Pb maps of 14 grains to understand the 2-D heterogeneity of elements and the U-Pb age distributions within these zircon, confirming the results of the depth profile analyses (Appendix A-DS4). The cauliflower-spongy texture shown in CL imagery correlates uniquely to rims with Oligocene ages, whereas the cores vary in age (250 to 1200 Ma). These two-dimensional U-Pb age maps further reveal that rims consistently have high U concentrations (1800 to 3000 ppm) and low Th concentrations (10 to 307 ppm), contributing to a Th/U < 0.1 (Figs. 9 and 10). For these maps, we used the pixels with statistically equivalent dates, including data within the 95% of the Gaussian distribution, to eliminate anomalously young and mixed ages to calculate the mean ages and uncertainties shown in the maps. The ages of interpolated pixels within the Oligocene aged rims spread from 22 to 32 Ma with mean age at 27.1 ± 3.4 Ma while the individual pixels within the Eocene-aged rims span from 32 to 65 Ma with mean age at 46.8 ± 7.6 Ma (Figs. 9, 10). The ages of the detrital cores span from ~300 Ma to ~1 Ga.

5.4. Oxygen isotope geochemistry

D detrital zircon cores from sample IOS1621 have δ18O values ranging from +5.6 to +8.5‰ (Figs. 9, 10, Appendix A-DS3). Zircon rims yield δ18O values ranging from +6.9 to +8.9‰. Of the 16 grains analyzed, five have zircon rims with δ18O values up to 1.6‰ higher than their respective zircon cores, with one rim exhibiting a 3.3‰ increase (Fig. 10A). Conversely, four grains have zircon rims with δ18O values lower relative to their cores (with one rim 1.8‰ lower). Given an analytical precision of ±0.2‰ (2 SD) on each analysis, the rim-core pairs for the zircon with Eocene age rims are essentially statistically indistinguishable with δ18O differences of <0.5‰. However, in zircon with Oligocene age rims, three of the rim-core pairs record δ18O differences of ≥1‰. SIMS analysis from two Eocene-aged rims (grain 5, 9, Appendix A-DS3) straddles the core-rim boundary according to the CL image, therefore the reported δ18O value of the rim represents a mixture of the zircon core and rim and is excluded from further interpretation; although the true δ18O value of this rim remains unknown, the data suggest the Eocene rim for this grain is more depleted in δ18O relative to the zircon core.

6. Discussion

Samples were collected from Sikinos and Ios from both the Cretaceous to Permian metasedimentary CBU and the metasedimentary rocks and metagranitoids of the Carboniferous and older CB. Previous work on these islands (Poulaki et al., 2019; Flansburg et al., 2019) established the detrital MDA from Permian to Cretaceous and crystallization ages of CB (Carboniferous) and CBU protoliths (Triassic metatuffs) (n = 120). From this sample suite, a subset of samples was analyzed to constrain the Cenozoic metamorphic and metasomatic evolution of these rocks. The detrital zircon age spectra of the CBU rocks span from ~70 Ma to ~3 Ga with the youngest MDA of ~70 Ma (Flansburg et al., 2019; Poulaki et al., 2019). The CB comprises Carboniferous granitic gneisses hosted within a
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suite of Neoproterozoic to early-Carboniferous metasedimentary rocks (Flansburg et al., 2019; Zlatkin et al., 2018). This study shows that together, both the CB and CBU represent an exhumed subduction complex that experienced at least two tectono-metamorphic events in the Eocene (HP/LT) and Oligocene (greenschist phases (e.g., Baldwin and Lister, 1998; Forster et al., 2020)). Zircon U-Pb and TE depth profiling and U-Pb two-dimensional mapping presented in this study show that these Mesozoic and Paleozoic zircon grains exhibit two distinct phases of Cenozoic metamorphic overgrowths coincident with these events (Fig. 3). Based on the youngest MDAs and the geologic setting of the Hellenic subduction zone, it is evident that these overgrowths are related to subduction-related metamorphism. The grains with ages older than the MDAs are not the focus of this study and will not be further discussed.

6.1. Zircon rims and relation to regional metamorphism

Mean Eocene concordant zircon rim ages (52.7 ± 0.2 Ma) from this study are in excellent agreement with the timing of HP/LT Eocene subduction metamorphism constrained by various studies (Baldwin and Lister, 1998; Bröcker et al., 1993; Bröcker and Franz, 1998; Dragovic et al., 2015; Lagos et al., 2007; Lister and Forster, 2016; Schneider et al., 2011; Tomaszek et al., 2003). Thus, we suggest that the Eocene zircon rims reflect growth either during, shortly after, or before the peak of the HP/LT conditions, and hence their geochemical signatures can be tied directly to well-studied metamorphic conditions within the Hellenic subduction zone (Andriessen et al., 1979a; Baldwin, 1996; Bröcker et al., 1993; Dragovic et al., 2015; Lagos et al., 2007; Lister and Forster, 2016; Tomaszek et al., 2003; Wijbrans and McDougall, 1986, Wijbrans and McDougall, 1988). Rims with overlapping analytical uncertainties are observed in both the CB and the CBU (this study; Flansburg et al., 2019), consistent with both units being subducted and experiencing subduction-related metamorphism at the same time. There is no obvious correlation between metasedimentary MDAs (tectono-stratigraphy) and the Eocene metamorphic rims (Poulaki et al., 2019). The lithologies that preserve metamorphic overgrowths include quartz-mica schists and orthogneiss with various amounts of chlorite, albite, calcite, glauco- phane, garnet, actinolite, and feldspar (Appendix A-Table 1). In contrast to the CBU, only five out of fifty samples from the CB preserved Eocene metamorphic rims and their lithologies include granitic gneisses and garnet-mica schist. The zircon grains with Eocene rims lack regular growth textures and have detrital cores with oscillatory zoning and the rims are separated from cores by irregular contacts and do not show any growth textures and have detrital cores with oscillatory zoning and the observed in both the CB and the CBU (this study; Flansburg et al., 2019; McDougall, 1988). Rims with overlapping analytical uncertainties are observed in both the CB and the CBU, only five out of fifty samples from the CB preserved Eocene metamorphic rims (Poulaki et al., 2019). The lithologies that preserve metamorphic overgrowths include quartz-mica schists and orthogneiss with various amounts of chlorite, albite, calcite, glauco- phane, garnet, actinolite, and feldspar (Appendix A-Table 1). In contrast to the CBU, only five out of fifty samples from the CB preserved Eocene metamorphic rims and their lithologies include granitic gneisses and garnet-mica schist. The zircon grains with Eocene rims lack regular growth textures and have detrital cores with oscillatory zoning and the rims are separated from cores by irregular contacts and do not show any growth textures and have detrital cores with oscillatory zoning and the observed in both the CB and the CBU, only five out of fifty samples from the CB preserved Eocene metamorphic rims (Poulaki et al., 2019).

6.2. Metamorphic zircon geochemistry

6.2.1. Trace elements and REE for the Eocene and Oligocene aged rims

The zircon samples with Eocene rims preserve cores with Th/U ratios of 0.3 to 2.0, suggesting a magmatic origin for the detrital cores (Fig. 5A, B). In contrast, the Eocene rims have lower Th/U (0.05 to 0.3), compared to the cores. As with the Eocene rims, the Oligocene zircon rims also record lower Th/U compared to the cores. The Th/U values of the Oligocene zircon overgrowths are all <0.1, averaging to 0.05, compared to the cores with values of 0.4 to 0.8. In addition, the Oligocene zircon rims have lower Th/U values than the Eocene rims. A value of Th/U < 0.1 is the proposed delineation for the discrimination between magmatic and metamorphic/hydrothermal zircon (e.g., Rubatto and Hermann, 2007). Even though this boundary value has been suggested as overly simplistic as other factors can influence this ratio, such as pre-existing zircon and/or the presence of monazite (e.g., Hoskin and Black, 2000; Möller et al., 2002, 2003; Pidgeon, 1992; Yakymchuk et al., 2018), our data are consistent with the proposed values for metamorphic rims and magmatic cores.

The Oligocene rims have higher Hf concentrations than their cores, whereas the Eocene rims have the same or slightly enriched Hf concentrations as their corresponding cores. Previous studies show that the Hf concentrations in metamorphic rims are similar to the magmatic cores (Hoskin and Black, 2000; Kinny and Maas, 2003; Rubatto, 2002). Although low Lu/Hf ratios are indicative of metamorphic zircon (Zheng et al., 2005), the Oligocene grains do not follow this pattern due to enrichment of Lu in the rims. In contrast to both the high Lu/Hf Oligocene rims, the Eocene rims have the same ratio as their corresponding cores suggesting that they are likely comprised of recycled material from the magmatic zircon (Fig. 7D-F).

Some of the rims record negative Eu and positive Ce anomalies. These results are consistent with previous observations from HP/LT Eocene recrystallized zircon in the Cyclades (Schneider et al., 2011; Tomaszek et al., 2003). For example, Eocene metamorphic zircon from Serifos island record an overall depletion in MREE and HREE, while still preserving negative Eu and positive Ce anomalies. These studies proposed that the main mechanism of zircon recrystallization is dissolution-reprecipitation (Schneider et al., 2011; Tomaszek et al., 2003) and that the newly formed metamorphic zircon can no longer incorporate REE as it does under metamorphic conditions and therefore will be depleted in REE (Putnis, 2002; Tomaszek et al., 2003). Even though the negative Eu and positive Ce anomalies are pronounced, the LREE present are overall depleted and have a flat pattern (Fig. 2B, S K).

Elevated values of La (low Sm/La) and flat LREE are prominent in some of the zircon cores from samples IOS1602 (Fig. 2B) and IOS1621 (Fig. S2). Low Sm/La has been proposed as diagnostic for hydrothermal zircon (Hoskin, 2005), whereas Cavosie et al. (2006) distinguished zircon grains with flat LREE profiles as “Type-2” characterized by high Th/U and discordant U-Pb ages and interpreted as radiation damaged and altered igneous zircons. Alternatively, Type-2 zircon could also be the result of inclusions. However, the flat LREE cores of zircon grains in samples IOS1602 and IOS1621 yielded concordant U-Pb ages with low Th/U suggesting a metamorphic or hydrothermal origin.
Fig. 6. Split stream data exported in 1-s increments and grouped based on the sample and labeled based on the age, mixing ages are excluded. (A-C) Th/U vs. \(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}\) final age (Ma) discrimination line at Th/U = 0.1. (D-F) Eu/Eu* vs. Ce/Ce*. (G-I) Lu/Sm (HREE/MREE) normalized to chondrite vs. Dy/Sm (MREE) normalized to chondrite. (J-L) Lu/Ho (HREE) normalized to chondrite vs. Nd/La (LREE) normalized to chondrite.
Fig. 7. Split stream data exported in 1-s increments and grouped based on the sample and labeled based on the age, mixing ages are excluded. (A-C) Hf (ppm) vs. Y (ppm) (D–F): Lu/Hf vs. Y(ppm) (G–I) U vs. Th (ppm) (J–L) Plots from Hoskin (2005) with discrimination fields for magmatic (blue) and hydrothermal zircon (orange). Note that the scale changes on each plot. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
The zircon with Oligocene rims are enriched in HREE relative to their cores (Fig. 2C). The enriched HREE patterns in the Oligocene rims contrast with the overall depleted and flat HREE patterns of the HP/LT Eocene zircon rims (Fig. 2B, C). Despite consistency in HREE, the LREE in the Oligocene rims are more variable, displaying variable degrees of enrichment and depletion in comparison to their cores (Fig. 2C). Overall, LREE and MREE in Eocene zircon rims are more depleted than, or have similar concentrations to, their cores (e.g., IOS1621_1 Fig. 2B, S1), or significantly depleted LREE in the rims with flat LREE in their cores (e.g., IOS1602_46, Fig. 2B). Additionally, some grains have slightly enriched HREE and depleted M-LREE in their rims (e.g., IOS1602_25, Fig. S1). Conversely, the TE and REE from the Oligocene rims show large variations in their concentrations and they are highly enriched from their corresponding cores.

6.2.2. Oxygen isotope composition of zircon core and rim pairs

The $\delta^{18}$O values (+5.6 to +8.5‰) of the zircon cores are consistent with crystallization from magmas that experienced some degree of crustal contamination. Zircon in equilibrium with the mantle have $\delta^{18}$O values of +5.3 ± 0.6‰ (2SD, Valley, 2003), whereas crust is enriched in $^{18}$O. Data presented here are consistent with the $\delta^{18}$O values of Triassic and Cretaceous detrital zircon cores from the Cyclades (Syros, Tinos, Andros, Ios, Sifnos, Naxos), which have $\delta^{18}$O values between 2.7 and 10.1‰, with a majority of values falling between 4.7 and 5.5‰ (Martin et al., 2006; Fu et al., 2012, 2015); and are interpreted to originate in an arc-setting that assimilated continental crust (Fu et al., 2010, 2012, 2015). The broad age range (~300 Ma to ~1.5 Ga) of the zircon cores from Ios (Figs. 9, 10, Appendix A-DS3) are typical of the passive margin sediments of the CBU (e.g., Poulaki et al., 2019; Seman et al., 2017). These age data combined with magmatic $\delta^{18}$O values provide additional support for their proposed detrital origin.
Fig. 9. Eocene zircon grains from sample IOS1621. On the right: Two-dimensional maps showing $^{238}\text{U}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age (non-linear colorbar) and Th/U values (linear colorbar). On the left: CL images are overlain on secondary electron images to show zonation apparent in the CL image and the corresponding zircon topography of the polished surface of the corresponding zircon, and x-y plot showing Th/U Vs Age and the $\delta^{18}$O value for the rim and the core. Errors in ages are in 2sigma.
Fig. 10. Oligocene zircon grains from sample IOS1621. On the right: 2D elemental maps showing $^{238}\text{U}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age (non-linear colorbar) and Th/U values (linear colorbar). On the left: CL images are overlain on secondary electron images to show zonation apparent in the CL image and the corresponding zircon topography of the polished surface of the corresponding zircon, and x-y plot showing Th/U Vs Age and the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ value for the rim and the core. Errors in ages are in 2sigma.
The Eocene zircon rims (n = 3) with ages from ~34 to ~49 Ma have δ18O values from 6.9 to 8.8‰ (average = 7.9% ± 0.8‰). Eocene rims are both enriched and depleted in 18O relative to their cores (δ18Orim-core = −0.2 to +0.5‰), which have δ18O values between +7.1 and 8.5‰ (Fig. 9, Appendix A-DS3). The limited difference between the rim and core pairs from the same zircon can be explained by analytical uncertainty (±0.2‰) and/or slight changes in temperature or bulk composition. The low degree of oxygen isotope ratio variability does not require an external fluid source and does not suggest large changes in the temperatures of formation.

Alternatively, the Oligocene rims (n = 4) have δ18O values between 8.6 and 9.0‰, with an average of 8.8 ± 0.3‰ (2SD) and are consistently higher than the δ18O values of the Eocene rims. All the Oligocene rims are enriched in 18O relative to their cores (δ18Orim-core = +0.4 to +3.5‰) (Appendix A-DS3). Shifts in δ18O values greater than 1‰ are commonly interpreted as involving an externally derived fluid (e.g., Kohn and Kelly, 2018), however, they do not necessarily require an externally derived fluid and can also be explained by changes in temperature and/or assemblage (e.g., Rubatto, 2017). The CB and CB have experienced Eocene blueschist metamorphism with peak temperature in range from 470 to 540 ºC (Augier et al., 2015; Gupta and Bickle, 2004; Hu et al., 2009; Perraki and Mposkos, 2001). The Oligocene rims were formed during greenschist facies with peak temperature estimates calculated on Tinos as low as ~400 ºC (Bröcker et al., 1993). These temperatures suggest a maximum possible temperature difference of 140 ºC at the time of zircon rim growth. If we assume a maximum possible temperature shift of ~140 ºC and zircon equilibrium with quartz in a pure quartzite, then the δ18O value of the zircon would shift by 1.5‰ (Valley, 2003). However, zircon-quartz fractionation in quartzite represents an extreme scenario, as the samples also contain plagioclase, mica, ± garnet, and ± trace amounts of Rutile, all of which would result in lower fractionations (Valley, 2003). Therefore, we suggest that 18O enrichments greater than ~1‰ are likely due to the influence of an externally derived fluid source, in agreement with findings for rocks of similar mineralogies and P-T paths as reported by Vho et al. (2020). In all cases, the Oligocene rims have δ18O values higher than the associated cores, reflecting an 18O-enriched fluid likely from a sedimentary derived source (e.g., Sharp, 2007 and references therein). On Naxos, Martin et al. (2006) found three generations of rims: Two Eocene populations at 55 and 45 Ma related to HP metamorphism with δ18O values in the rims similar to the cores, and a third generation at 16 Ma related to low δ18O fluids and medium temperatures. Future work on oxygen isotope analyses on other Cycladic islands can further evaluate this hypothesis.

6.3. The metamorphic conditions of zircon rims formation

6.3.1. Eocene high-pressure zircon metamorphic rims represent recrystallization of magmatic cores

Eocene zircon rims are observed in both metasedimentary and metagranitoid lithologies from the CB and CB, although they are more widespread in the CBU, suggesting a limited protolith influence on the formation of zircon overgrowths. Although these Eocene zircon rims are metamorphic in origin and related to regionally well-documented HP/LT metamorphism, they exhibit variable TE signatures spanning both the magmatic and hydrothermal fields defined by Hoskin (2005) (Figs. 2B, 5E, H, K, 7). This TE variability is likely attributable to a combination of limited neogrowth from local metamorphic reactions and recrystallization of magmatic zircon cores, resulting in inherited magmatic TE signatures. The similar H-MREE in the Eocene metamorphic zircon rims suggest geological inheritance of immobile REE from the recrystallizing zircon cores. Depletion of LREE could also be explained by mica growth along the prograde metamorphic path. Formation of thin Eocene zircon rims is ultimately controlled by P-T conditions and the presence of pre-existing zircon, with host-rock lithology playing a minor role.

The variability of REE data from zircon rims grown (Fig. 2, 5, 6, 7) in the same metamorphic conditions and within the same sample likely reflect a) both local protolith heterogeneity and short diffusion length scales, resulting in variable REE availability in the subduction zone system (Kohn and Kelly, 2018) and b) possible disequilibrium conditions at these moderate HP/LT conditions, and c) the lack of minerals that dominate the HREE budget, such as garnet. However, the Th/U of these Eocene zircon rims are lower (0.3 to 0.05) than values typical of magmatic zircon, as well as their corresponding detrital cores (Fig. 5A, B). More importantly, the Th/U of these rims is relatively consistent despite the widely-varying REE signatures. This observation suggests Th/U is more diagnostic of the metamorphic origin, and that Th and U are more strongly fractionated by accessory minerals like allanite and/or monazite that dominate the U and Th budget.

Although overall REE depletion of Eocene rims indicate a metamorphic origin for these zircon overgrowths (Rubatto and Hermann, 2007), the magnitude of the MREE and LREE depletion, the slight enrichment of HREE and the existence of Eu and Ce anomalies are atypical of metamorphic zircon and typical of magmatic zircon. The overall depletion of the Eocene rims reflects the fluid immobility of HFSE elements during HP/LT metamorphism. This contrast is likely related to the lower grade of metamorphism compared to those of Rubatto and Hermann (2007), as well as HP/LT metamorphic paragenesis conditions that are not conducive to complete homogenization and equilibrium conditions. For example, the absence of feldspar could modulate the magnitude of the Eu anomaly, whereas the absence of garnet in these lithologies (T < 450 ºC) during the prograde path would inhibit HREE depletion. Furthermore, magmatic TE signatures in some of the zircon rims indicate a recrystallization/precipitation mechanism for zircon rim formation which favors inheritance of immobile elements from the magmatic core rather than longer length scale diffusive transport or directly precipitating from an externally-derived fluid. The process of rim recrystallization does not require the presence of a fluid source (Tomascheck et al., 2003) to remove or add cations to the zircon lattice (Hoskin, 2005). The interpretation of Eocene rim recrystallization is also supported by δ18O values that are indistinguishable from their cores. In addition, CL images reveal that Eocene rims preserve the primary textural characteristics of their protolith zircons (Martin et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2018; Fig. 9, S2).

6.3.2. Oligocene metamorphic zircon rims require presence of an externally-derived fluid

In contrast to the Eocene rims, the occurrence of Oligocene metamorphic rims is limited to occurrence in metasedimentary samples collected near the CB-CBU contact. Although these rims exist in both CB and CB metasedimentary rocks, they appear to be absent in zircon from the CB meta-granitoids (this study; Flansburg et al., 2019; Poulaki et al., 2019). The REE patterns in the Oligocene rims are distinctly different from both their cores and the population of Eocene rims. Major differences include an overall enrichment of MREE, HREE, U and Hf as well as distinctly lower Th/U values (Figs. 3C, 5A, 7A-C).

Thin sections studied from the samples with Oligocene rims contain garnet pseudomorphs replaced by chlorite (Fig. 8A-I). Higher modal abundances and partition coefficients of garnet can dominate the whole-rock HREE budgets and control the HREE patterns in zircon and studies have shown that garnet and zircon might not equilibrate (Lancaster et al., 2009). Since garnets are enriched in HREE and Hf, the observed Oligocene zircon rim HREE enrichment and higher Hf concentrations suggests growth during or after the retrograde breakdown of garnet. We propose that aqueous fluids drove the breakdown mineral phases like HREE-rich garnet, and less significantly, rutile enriched in Zr, triggering the formation of new zircon rims.

A likely mechanism for the formation of these metamorphic rims might be the exposure of pre-existing zircon grains to fluid-driven retrograde breakdown reactions that result in Zr liberation, making Zr available for zircon growth (e.g., Liermann et al., 2002; Tomascheck et al., 2003; Rubatto and Hermann, 2003b). These fluids then act as
medium for TE and contribute to the metamorphic zircon rim formation (e.g., Ayers et al., 2002; Liati and Gebauer, 1999; Rubatto et al., 1999; Zheng et al., 2003). Similar LREE concentrations between core-rim pairs and low Th/U values of these rims agree with many experimental studies of zircon formed from aqueous fluids that indicate fluids are capable of transporting only moderate amounts of LREE, Th, and U (Hermann, 2002; Hermann et al., 2006; Hermann and Green, 2001; Kessel et al., 2005; Klöppel et al., 2008; Spandler et al., 2008).

Studies of natural samples containing zircon formed from aqueous fluid present extremely low Th/U values (<0.05) (e.g., Rubatto and Hermann, 2003; Zheng et al., 2007). Additionally, limited experimental data from Ayers and Watson (1991) and Liermann et al. (2002) have suggested that Zr solubility in aqueous fluids is controlled by pressure, temperature, and pH. Hence, when zircon reacts with a fluid phase during HP or HT conditions, the grain will re-equilibrate by enhancing the HFSSEs mobility (Tomaschek et al., 2003).

6.4. The origin of metasomatic fluids

The petrology and TE of samples with Oligocene rims indicate the presence of fluids during retrograde metamorphism. Fluids are most likely to be derived by the subducting slab as dehydration reactions cause the release of water from subducting sedimentary and volcanic rocks. Oxygen isotope ratios between 8.6 and 9.0‰ suggest zircon rim formation in the presence of 18O-enriched fluids likely representing slab-derived fluids from dehydrating sediments (Sharp, 2007 and references therein) during the Oligocene (Fig. 11B) that were also responsible for the fluid-driven breakdown of garnet. The presence of cauliflower-like textures in the Oligocene zircon rims also indicates the importance of aqueous fluids for their formation. Oxygen isotope ratios, TE, the presence of cauliflower-like textures supports the interpretation that the Oligocene zircon rims are metamorphic in nature and associated with a metasomatic fluid. Furthermore, the proximity of these samples to a major lithologic contact and that a high-permeability zone created by the CBU-CB contact facilitated fluid transport. The permeability of metasedimentary rocks can be larger than that of granitoid rocks (Spear and Rumble III, 1986) which could have allowed fluid circulation proximal to the CB-CBU contact, and may explain why aqueous fluids do not appear to have played a major role in formation of the Eocene-age rims.

6.5. Tectonic implications

The nature and role of the contact between the CBU and the CB has been debated and variably interpreted as either extensional, contractual, or depositional/para-autochthonous. On Ios, it has been interpreted as either an Eocene-Oligocene south-dipping extensional shear zone (South Cyclades Shear Zone—SCSZ), truncated by the Miocene south-dipping Ios detachment fault (e.g., Baldwin and Lister, 1998; Forster et al., 2020; Forster and Lister, 1999, 2008, 2010; Lister et al., 1984; Thomson et al., 2009; Mizera and Behrmann, 2016; Forster et al., 2020) or, as an Eocene subduction-related top-to-south thrust fault, the South Cyclades Thrust (SCT) (Huet et al., 2009; Van der Maar and Jansen, 1983) that was later reactivated during exhumation as a top-to-north low-angle normal fault (Augier et al., 2015; Huet et al., 2009).

Poulaki et al. (2019) interpreted the relationship between the CBU and CB on Sikinos as para-autochthonous, linking the meta-sedimentary units below and above the contact to a semi-intact and coherent stratigraphic succession. More recently, Ring and Gledney (2021) argued for the contact on Sikinos to represent an Oligocene thrust that was reactivated as a north-dipping extensional low-angle normal fault final exhumation. Their interpretation is in part based on a potential pressure jump between the two units, although the most recent peak pressure estimates for the CB and CBU are similar. Huet (2010) reported PT conditions for the CB of 16.5 ± 1.3 kbar for 500 ± 20 °C by pseudo-section modeling on Ios revising previous estimates of 11 kbar for 475 °C (Gupta and Bickle, 2004). The PT estimates for the CB are similar to those of the CBU of 18.5 ± 1 kbar for 510 ± 20 °C (Augier et al., 2015), indicating either no pressure difference or only a weak pressure gradient between the CB and CBU, giving the overpressuring metamorphic conditions.

In this study, TE, U-Pb and δ18O analyses of metamorphic zircon rims from meta-sedimentary samples from the CB and CBU provide insights into the metamorphic evolution of the Southern Cyclades from subduction to exhumation and allow us to place further constraints on the nature and role of this contact and better understand the tectonic evolution of this region. The presence of Eocene zircon rims in both the CB and the CBU supports the scenario that these units were either subducted together or juxtaposed during subduction and experienced contemporaneous subduction metamorphism (Figs. 3, 11A). These results supplement the interpretation of the CBU as para-autochthonous with respect to the CB since it supports that the units where subducted together, (Poulaki et al., 2019; Flansburg et al., 2019) based on detailed detrital zircon provenance data that demonstrates that the early CBU (Cretaceous-Triassic) was deposited directly on top of, or in close proximity to, the basement units of the CB. Following long-lived Mesozoic rifted and passive margin deposition, both units entered the subduction channel in the early Cenozoic as evidenced by the shared Cenozoic metamorphic evolution (e.g., Huet et al., 2009). This interpretation is in contrast to a proposed Oligocene juxtaposition of the CB and CBU during back-arc extension and the final stages of exhumation, implying that the CB-CBU contact acted as an extensional shear zone and/or low-angle normal fault during the formation of the Ios metamorphic core complex (Lister et al., 1984; Forster and Lister, 1999, 2008; Baldwin and Lister, 1998; Forster et al., 2020). However, the shared Oligocene metamorphic history of fluid-driven garnet breakdown and zircon rim growth localized along the CB-CBU contact, appears to contradict this later scenario that suggests that the contact is a low angle normal fault. This very localized zone of fluid alteration in both the CB and CBU would not be possible if the contact had been reactivated as a large-displacement extensional fault zone in post-Oligocene times. Hence, the evidence for synchronous HP/LT metamorphism in both the CBU and CB (Fig. 3; Huet et al., 2009) and the spatial correspondence of Oligocene retrograde metamorphism along the contact, in conjunction with published provenance data, support the interpretation that the CBU and CB formed a coherent pre-subduction tectonic unit and argue against major Cenozoic extensional displacement along the CB-CBU interface.

Combined zircon U-Pb and TE data document the occurrence of a metamorphic event in the Oligocene that resulted in zircon overgrowth due to static, fluid-driven retrograde breakdown reactions along the CB-CBU contact zone, affecting both units simultaneously. These fluids are likely deriving from the subducted sediments and they result in retrograde re-hydration of the rocks following the exhumation path (Fig. 11B). Given the preservation of the chlorite replacement texture it is unlikely that the Oligocene alteration was accompanied by penetrative ductile deformation, but it is possible that brittle deformation may have facilitated fluid flow along the high permeability zone of the CBU-CB contact. HREE enrichment in Oligocene zircon rims is consistent with petrographically observed garnet breakdown along the CB-CBU contact zone.

Previous work on Naxos Island (Fig. 1), located ~30 km north of Sikinos and Ios, also identified a younger generation of zircon rims at ~20 Ma. The authors, however, were not able to synthesize these rims into a single magmatic event (Bolhar et al., 2017; Martin et al., 2006) on the basis of low LREE concentrations attributed to crystalization in equilibrium with the mafic host rock. However, this is not the case for the Oligocene zircon rims identified in Sikinos and Ios that are characterized by higher HREE concentrations and texturally linked to localized breakdown. More importantly, the nature and origin of the fluid phase triggering this localized retrograde metamorphism is indicated by the zircon rim δ18O values. These data agree with the temporal occurrence of arc
Fig. 11. Tectono-metamorphic evolution of CBU and CB from subduction to subsequent exhumation. (A) 55–45 Ma: Subduction of CB and CBU during High-Pressure/Low-Temperature metamorphism. Thin section from granitic sample (IOS1658M) within the CB with preserved garnets. Spider diagram from single grain (sample IOS1621) shows strong depletion in the HREE and LREE of the Eocene metamorphic rim. (B) 30–20 Ma: Partial exhumation of the CB and CBU. Migration of fluids from dehydration of subducted sediments towards the partially exhumed CB and CBU. Red arrows show the circulation of fluids along the CB-CBU contact. Thin section from CBU sample (SIK1603) collected along the CB-CBU contact shows garnet breaking down and being replaced by mica. Spider diagram from a single grain (SIK1603) shows enrichment in the HREE of the Oligocene metamorphic rims. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
migmatism, which did not invade the central Cyclades until the early-middle Miocene (Andriessen et al., 1979a), postdating the formation of most zircon rims in Sikinos and Ios.

A likely scenario for the origin of fluid is the dehydration of younger sediment in the underlying subduction channel and upward migration and infiltration along the more permeable CBU-CB contact zone. Regionally, it has been shown that the Basal Unit was emplaced below the CBU in the subduction zone in the latest Eocene or Oligocene (e.g., Shaked et al., 2000) (Fig. 11B). Prograde dehydration of sediments, such as the latest Eocene Almyropotamos Flysch (e.g., Dubois and Bignot, 1979; Shaked et al., 2000) atop the Basal Unit or other younger subducted sediments in the subduction zone below the CBU, could provide aqueous fluids that infiltrate the previously underplated CB and CBU units in the roof of the subduction zone prior to the sweep of late Cenozoic arc magmatism and exhumation during back-arc extension (Fig. 11B).

6.6. Implications for metamorphic zircon petrochronology

More than a decade of petrochronology research has demonstrated that zircon is part of the metamorphic mineral assemblage and can grow in response to metamorphic reactions, such as garnet, rutile, hornblende breakdown (Degeling et al., 2001; Kohn and Kelly, 2018). Rubatto (2017) summarized how zircon TE compositions in high-grade metamorphic rocks and migmatites can be linked to parameters, such as protolith composition, metamorphic mineral paragenesis, temperature and pressure, and fluid flux. However, less is known about zircon petrochronology in low- to medium-grade metamorphic rocks. This study demonstrates the power of depth-profile split-stream LA-ICP-MS analysis in unraveling the timing and tectono-metamorphic conditions of multiple metamorphic events from thin zircon rims in medium-grade (≤500°C) HP/LT rocks that are devoid of garnet (Sample IOS1602), or garnet is not present when the zircon rims are forming. Both one-dimensional depth profiling of unpolished zircon grains and grain-internal two-dimensional mapping of polished grains, are critical for understanding temporally distinct zircon growth domains and metamorphic processes taking place during their formation.

Results of this study suggest that REE signatures of metamorphic zircon in moderate-grade HP/LT metamorphic rocks in subduction complexes do not adhere to diagnostic REE signatures considered to be well-documented in higher-grade garnet- or melt-bearing metamorphic rocks (e.g., Lancaster et al., 2009; Rubatto, 2017). However, many rocks from the Cycladic complex do not contain classic medium to high-grade metamorphic minerals, such as garnet, and therefore lack mineral phases that strongly dominate REE budgets during zircon growth. REE signatures of HP/LT Eocene zircon rims show a high degree of variability in Ce/CEF vs Sm/La, spanning the range of compositions from magmatic to hydrothermal, with zircon rims either mimicking the composition of their magmatic core or plotting near the hydrothermal field (Fig. 7 J-L, from Hoskin, 2005). The lack of classic HP/LT metamorphic zircon REE patterns, such as flat H-MREE slopes is likely linked to the origin of the zircon rims that either stem from zircon recrystallization, inheriting the REE signature of their corresponding core, or metamorphic reactions liberating Zr and promoting zircon overgrowth (this study; Tomaszek et al., 2003). Zircon growth and REE composition appear to reflect variable local protolith composition, mineral paragenesis, and P-T conditions. REE homogeneity was limited by restricted diffusion length scales, local phase equilibria, and reaction kinetics, resulting in spatial REE heterogeneity that did not produce a consistent and diagnostic metamorphic REE signature.

In contrast to the REE signatures in these Eocene metamorphic zircon rims, the Th/U and Hf concentrations are significantly more uniform and decoupled from the REE variability. Systematically lower zircon Th/U (≤0.3) in zircon rims from these rocks is a more consistent and diagnostic indicator for the metamorphic nature. This is likely due to the amplified dependence of Th/U on the occurrence of specific accessory minerals during prograde metamorphism such as allanite or monazite. Another important factor might be the fluid mobility of U under oxidized conditions (Garber et al., 2020). In contrast to REE, U therefore might better reflect the metamorphic nature of these lower-grade zircons in the absence of mineral assemblages that dominate the REE budget. Other studies of similar subduction-related metamorphic rocks have also demonstrated diagnostic low Th/U values for metamorphic zircon rims (e.g., Hoskin and Schaltegger, 2003; Rubatto, 2017; Seymour et al., 2018).

The Oligocene zircon rims are linked to garnet breakdown, hence, the REE patterns are more uniform and more consistently plot outside the magmatic field and closer to the hydrothermal domain (Fig. 7 J-L). “Cauliflower” texture and oxygen isotope ratios point out to an externally derived fluid that triggered garnet breakdown, appear to have promoted zircon overgrowth with more homogeneous, enriched HREE signatures that are systematically different from their corresponding zircon cores. Hence, metamorphic Zr availability was likely more important for Oligocene zircon growth than in-situ zircon recrystallization. However, similar to the Eocene cores, zircon Th/U is consistently very low (<1) and diagnostic of metamorphic zircon growth in these rocks under these conditions. The ultra-low Th/U appears to be driven by either removal or immobility of Th (<300 ppm) and also dramatic U enrichment (500 to 10,000 ppm) likely related to U fluid mobility (Fig. 7G-I).

This study illustrates the complexities of metamorphic geochemical fingerprinting in low- to medium-grade subduction complexes, but it also displays the power of a more comprehensive characterization of zircon via U-Pb dating, TE depth-profiling and two-dimensional mapping analyses coupled with oxygen isotopic determinations used to reconstruct both the prograde and retrograde metamorphic evolution and the role of fluids in subduction zones. This integrative approach is transportable and should help resolve important questions regarding depositional ages, timing and conditions of metamorphism, as well as fluid-rock interactions in low- to medium-grade subduction metamorphic rocks like the Franciscan complex in California or the Sanbagawa belt in Japan. The methodology approach conducive to the study of the bulk metamorphic rocks should also help counteract the strong traditional bias introduced by the focus on high-grade exotic blocks contained within subduction mélanges.

7. Conclusions

On Sikinos and Ios islands in the Cyclades, Greece, both the CB and CBU preserve two distinct metamorphic zircon age modes during the Eocene and Oligocene. This distinction was confirmed with a suite of geochronologic, and geochemical analyses of zircon grains collected from both islands. The Eocene rims are likely related to a HP/LT metamorphic event as also shown in previously reported ages in the literature associated with the Hellenic subduction zone. The presence of Eocene rims in both CB and CBU samples indicates a shared metamorphic history, implying that these units were subducted and metamorphosed contemporaneously. The second generation of rims of Oligocene age are recorded only in samples collected along a major lithologic contact between the CB and the CBU. We suggest that the occurrence of these rims was influenced by higher permeability along the contact, where metasomatic fluids were likely derived from sediment dehydration from the subducting slab and localized during greenschist-facies metamorphism indicating that the units exhumed together without significant displacement.

By systematically combining U-Pb, REE, and oxygen isotope measurements we gain information regarding the petrochronology of the medium-grade HP/LT Cycladic subduction complex and show that Eocene rims exhibit a wide spread of REE signatures that do not yield consistent patterns that are diagnostic of metamorphic growth, likely due to zircon inheritance, local protolith control, metamorphic conditions, and limited equilibrium. The similar REE concentrations and δ18O...
values of the rims to their corresponding cores suggests that these rims were formed during recrystallization/precipitation in the absence of significant amounts of externally derived fluid. Oligocenе zircon rims, in contrast, reveal REE patterns that are far more uniform and consistent with metamorphic signatures. Growth of these rims occurred in the presence of an external fluid phase from dehydration of sediments, as documented by the oxygen isotope ratios, that promoted both a more homogeneous environment and retrograde breakdown reactions of Zr-liberating and HREE-dominating phases (garnet). Despite the complex REE variability, metamorphic rims display a much more consistent low to ultra-low Th/U that is decoupled from both the core Th/U and the rim REE signatures. Thus, when trying to identify and understand metamorphic zircon growth in moderate-grade metamorphic rocks, Th/U might still be a powerful indicator, while REE values can be more complex than in high-temperature/anatectic zircon due to effects related to local paragenesis, diffusion length scales, and disequilibrium.

The results of this study demonstrate how the combination of TE, U-Pb ages, δ18O, and age maps can show the age and chemistry of zircon rims. Since there are relatively good constraints on the regional geologic context, we can further establish our understanding of how zircon records the different metamorphic stages of subducted and exhumed rocks in low to medium grade HP/LT rocks. In most cases, metamorphic zircon growth is complicated and a function of Zr availability, protolith, mineral assemblage present while zircon form; controlling factors influencing the precipitation of Zr-saturated fluids may vary.
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